

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_121176
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic growth performance of lysine supplementation in diet of Large White pigs in tropical environments
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Ideal dietary amino acids levels are really significant to improve daily weight gain as well as feed conversion ratio. However, if the level of supplement is excess, it leads to wastage of nutrients and may play an antagonist effect on other essential nutrients for the organisms under study. Therefore, determining the ideal supplement level is necessary to frame better and cost effective production strategies.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Use of the term 'genetic' is not so relevant here as all the experimental units i.e. piglets are from the same cross. Thus, this research could not reveal any genetic variation in growth performance among piglets. Alternative title: Impact of dietary lysine supplementation on growth performance of Large White pigs in tropical environments</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes. But, results should be still elaborative and discussion can be rearranged sequentially as per the body parameters and feed efficiency parameters.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>No information on comparison of lysine supplementation treatments with control i.e. L0 (without lysine supplement). It will be much useful to declare the best treatment to suggest as implications.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes. But few references in discussion are too old. Please try to adopt new references if available.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Other comments are provided in the manuscript file as track changes. Please have a check.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parameshwaran Mathavaraj
Department, University & Country	ICAR – Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India