

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123967
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Influence of 21st Century Diverse Mathematics Educators on Student Outcomes in Secondary School Mathematics in Mezam Division, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is interesting because of its subject. It is related to a little-known area. Readers may be curious about the content.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think it will be more accurate in terms of English. Understanding the Influence of 21st Century Diverse Mathematics Educators on Secondary School Student Outcomes in Mezam Division, Cameroon	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It isn't clear how authors determine students' outcomes? Because the main problem is about teachers. But they collected data from students. So, abstract should be revised.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	At first glance, the title suggests a relationship will be established between student performance and teacher characteristics. However, the collected data lacks a component related to student performance. Additionally, the data collection tools are Likert-type surveys. Calculating the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient is not sufficient in terms of validity and reliability. Therefore, the scientific credibility of the results becomes questionable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	It needs more recent references. In "back ground of study" the references are from 1995, 1999, 2000, 2004. The newest reference is from 2013. "In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the impact that diverse teaching staff can have on educational outcomes." This expression should support with references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the manuscript needs to be revised for English accuracy.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hatice Kubra Guler Selek
Department, University & Country	Bursa Uludag University, Turkiye