

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123301
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF SCHOOL COOPERATE RESPONSIBILITY PARTNERSHIP IN ENHANCING ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP FOR SELF DEVELOPMENT OF UPPER BASIC STUDENTS IN SOCIAL STUDIES IN QUA'AN-PAN, PLATEAU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study examines a very current topic, as it seeks to answer the question of how the school cooperative responsibility partnership affects the active citizenship for self-development of high school students in Qua'an-Pan, Plateau State, Nigeria. Nowadays, social responsibility has become key not only for a company, but also for a nation. The authors found the most suitable age for the investigation, since education is the key to development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract contains relevant information about the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and organization of the manuscript is logical. The individual parts are connected to each other. The elaboration of the chapters is also adequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The sampling procedure was statistically based. By using several types of questionnaires, it was possible to examine the different areas. The methodology used is appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The study uses a total of 8 specialist literature, which should be further expanded in order to support the scientific validity.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English of the study is adequate	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habil Matkó Andrea
Department, University & Country	University of Debrecen, Hungary