

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123301
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF SCHOOL COOPERATE RESPONSIBILITY PARTNERSHIP IN ENHANCING ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP FOR SELF DEVELOPMENT OF UPPER BASIC STUDENTS IN SOCIAL STUDIES IN QUA'AN-PAN, PLATEAU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Research based case study paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses an important and timely issue regarding the role of school corporate social responsibility (CSR) partnerships in promoting active citizenship and self-development among upper basic students in Nigeria. With declining civic engagement among youth, particularly in developing countries, understanding the factors that can foster active citizenship is crucial for sustainable national development. The study's focus on the Qua'an-Pan region of Plateau State, Nigeria, provides valuable insights into the local context, making the findings highly relevant for policymakers and educators in the region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Influence of School Cooperate Responsibility Partnership in Enhancing Active Citizenship for Self-Development of Upper Basic Students in Social Studies in Qua'an-Pan, Plateau State, Nigeria," is appropriate and accurately reflects the scope and objectives of the study.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study, including the research objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. However, it could be strengthened by including a more explicit statement of the study's significance and potential implications. For example, the abstract could highlight how the findings contribute to the understanding of the role of school-community partnerships in promoting active citizenship and self-development among youth in Nigeria.</p> <p>Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure The structure of the manuscript is well-organized and follows a logical flow. The subsections, including the introduction, literature review, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion, are appropriate and effectively present the various components of the study.</p> <p>Scientific Correctness and Technical Soundness The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor. The research design, including the use of a descriptive survey approach and appropriate sampling techniques, is well-justified. The data collection methods, involving both student and teacher questionnaires, provide a robust basis for the analysis. The use of statistical techniques, such as mean, standard deviation, and chi-square, to analyse the data and test the hypothesis is technically sound.</p> <p>The discussion section effectively contextualizes the findings within the existing literature, highlighting both the convergence and divergence of the results with previous studies. The conclusions drawn are logical and firmly grounded in the evidence presented.</p> <p>Sufficiency and Recency of References The reference list is comprehensive and includes a good mix of recent and relevant sources. However, the inclusion of a few more recent studies (within the last 5 years) on school-community partnerships, active citizenship, and self-development among youth would further strengthen the literature review and provide a more up-to-date perspective on the topic.</p> <p>To further strengthen the manuscript, the authors could consider the following suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction, provide a more robust discussion on the conceptual and theoretical underpinnings of active citizenship, self-development, and the role of school-community partnerships in these processes. This would help to situate the study within a stronger theoretical framework. 2. Expand the discussion section to explore the potential mechanisms through which school CSR partnerships influence active citizenship and self-development. This could involve a deeper analysis of the specific initiatives or programs implemented by the schools and their impact on students' knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviours related to civic engagement and personal growth. 3. Consider including a section on the limitations of the study and potential avenues for future research. This would demonstrate the authors' awareness of the study's constraints and their commitment to advancing the field through further investigations. 4. Ensure that the implications of the findings are clearly articulated, both in the discussion and conclusion sections. Highlight the specific ways in which the results can inform policy, educational practices, and community-school collaborations to foster active citizenship and self-development among youth. <p>With these revisions, the manuscript would be a valuable contribution to the literature on the role of school-community partnerships in promoting civic engagement and personal development among young people in Nigeria and potentially other developing country contexts.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure of the manuscript is well-organized and follows a logical flow. The subsections, including the introduction, literature review, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion, are appropriate and effectively present the various components of the study.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates a high level of scientific rigor. The research design, including the use of a descriptive survey approach and appropriate sampling techniques, is well-justified. The data collection methods, involving both student and teacher questionnaires, provide a robust basis for the analysis. The use of statistical techniques, such as mean, standard deviation, and chi-square, to analyse the data and test the hypothesis is technically sound.</p>	

Review Form 3

	The discussion section effectively contextualizes the findings within the existing literature, highlighting both the convergence and divergence of the results with previous studies. The conclusions drawn are logical and firmly grounded in the evidence presented.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The reference list is comprehensive and includes a good mix of recent and relevant sources. However, the inclusion of a few more recent studies (within the last 5 years) on school-community partnerships, active citizenship, and self-development among youth would further strengthen the literature review and provide a more up-to-date perspective on the topic.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and English Quality The language and overall English quality of the manuscript are suitable for scholarly communication. The writing style is clear, concise, and academically appropriate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript presents a well-designed and executed study that contributes to the understanding of the role of school CSR partnerships in promoting active citizenship and self-development among upper basic students in Nigeria. The findings have important implications for policymakers, educators, and community stakeholders in developing strategies to enhance civic engagement and the holistic development of young people. With minor revisions to strengthen the abstract and literature review, this manuscript would be a valuable addition to the existing body of knowledge in this area.</p> <p>1. Relevance and Timeliness: The study addresses a crucial issue of active citizenship and self-development among youth in Nigeria, which is highly relevant in the current global context of declining civic engagement.</p> <p>2. Methodological Rigor: The research design is well-structured, employing appropriate sampling techniques and data collection methods. The use of both student and teacher questionnaires provides a comprehensive perspective.</p> <p>3. Data Analysis: The statistical analysis techniques used are appropriate for the research questions and hypothesis, demonstrating a good understanding of quantitative research methods.</p> <p>4. Discussion and Interpretation: The findings are well-interpreted and contextualized within existing literature, showing a good grasp of the field.</p> <p>5. Practical Implications: The study offers valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and community stakeholders, potentially informing strategies to enhance civic engagement among youth.</p> <p>6. Structure and Clarity: The manuscript is well-organized, with a logical flow of ideas and clear presentation of research objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>7. Language Quality: The writing is clear and suitable for academic communication, enhancing the manuscript's readability and comprehension.</p> <p>However, the manuscript falls short of a perfect score due to a few areas that could be improved:</p> <p>1. Theoretical Framework: The conceptual and theoretical underpinnings of active citizenship and school-community partnerships could be more robustly discussed.</p> <p>2. Recent Literature: While the references are generally sufficient, including more recent studies (within the last 5 years) would strengthen the literature review.</p> <p>3. Limitations and Future Research: A dedicated section discussing the study's limitations and suggesting future research directions would enhance the manuscript's scholarly contribution.</p> <p>4. Ethical Considerations: More explicit discussion of ethical considerations, including informed consent and data privacy, would strengthen the manuscript's ethical standing.</p> <p>In conclusion, this manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field, with strong methodological rigor and practical implications. With minor revisions to address the points mentioned above, it has the</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>potential to be an excellent scholarly work worthy of publication.</p> <p>Ethical Issues: The study appears to have been conducted ethically, with appropriate permissions likely obtained from schools and participants. However, the manuscript would benefit from explicitly stating:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Whether ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board or relevant ethics committee.2. How informed consent was obtained from participants, especially given that the study involved minors (upper basic students).3. Measures taken to protect participant confidentiality and data privacy.4. Any potential risks to participants and how these were mitigated. <p>While these ethical considerations were likely addressed during the study, explicitly stating them in the manuscript would strengthen its ethical standing.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asjad Usmani
Department, University & Country	Don Bosco Institute of Technology, India