

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_122581
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF CITRIC ACID ON THE PHYTOEXTRACTION POTENTIAL OF Psium sativium L GROWN ON MULTI- HEAVY METAL CONTAMINATED SOIL.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part	Phytoextraction potential of plant species is significant in the bioremediation process more importantly as a low cost technology in cleaning contaminated environment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	You can at least delete the word "multi" not to pre-empt that the soil has various metal content	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Kindly, include the method used for the analysis of the soil and the plant component.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The gap is clearly stated, and the subject matter was presented well particularly on the relevance of having an experiment on the potential effect of citric acid in the bioremediation potential of Psium sativum, Nonetheless, the methodology must be clearly stated particularly on the research design and the sample handling and analysis to ensure that the results are free from any form of contamination. n	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but kindly include why Psium sativum to present the relevance of plant selection and that it can be justified that this plant is the most appropriate for this study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but kindly run Grammarly on it, and subject this to turnit-in.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jason Ben R. Paragamac
Department, University & Country	University of Mindanao, Philippines