

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_123400
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of Climate Change on Occupational Health and Safety: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is an up-to-date review of the impact of climate change on occupational health and safety. It will help researchers to identify research gaps in the field and plan for further research. The applied aspect (identifying problems and providing probable solutions) of the article is attractive. The author(s) mostly described qualitative results in the manuscript. I suggest the author(s) to incorporate quantitative results as well in the review article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable. The title clearly indicates what the article is about.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is concise and comprehensive, suitable for a review article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a review article; a compilation of results already published in recognized journals, and it is well written. So, I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, they are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is academic, sufficient to convey the gist of the articles.	
Optional/General comments	Use abbreviation of Occupational health and safety (OHS) consistently. Figures 1 and 2 should be mentioned in the text. Careful self-editing needed.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Adhikary
Department, University & Country	Tri-Chandra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Nepal