

1
2 EFFECT OF GROWING MEDIA ON GERMINATION AND SEEDLING GROWTH OF FOUR
3 DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF TOMATO (*Solanum lycopersicum* (L.)) IN KHUMALTAR-LALITPUR
4
5
6

7 **ABSTRACT**

8 **Aims:** Food security is one of the global challenges in this developing world, and the quality of
9 seedling influences the overall production of ~~vegetables~~vegetable. Thus, the core objective of the
10 study was to determine the impact of various growing media on quality seedling production, and
11 to analyze whether these media were varieties specific or not.

12 **Study Design:** Two-factor factorial completely randomized design (CRD)

13 **Place and duration of study:** An experiment was conducted at the seedling production
14 greenhouse of Vegetable Crops Development Centre-Khumaltar Lalitpur, Nepal in March, 2023.

15 **Methodology:** Six different combinations of growing media: soil, sand + soil + FYM, sand + soil
16 + vermicompost, coco peat, coco peat + FYM, and coco peat + vermicompost, and four different
17 varieties of tomato: Srijana, Khumal-2, Khumal-3 and Monoprecos were tested in a two-factor
18 factorial completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications for each combination of
19 treatments. ~~The sample seedlings were tagged with help of color thread and different parameters~~
20 ~~had been studied in controlled condition.~~

21 **Results:** ~~The results indicated that growing media with coco peat led to a higher germination~~
22 ~~percentage (72.92%), lower mean germination time (8.922 days), and higher speed of~~
23 ~~germination (1.745). Coco peat + vermicompost showed superior seedling growth parameters,~~
24 ~~including shoot length (7.852 cm), root length (7.123 cm), root to shoot ratio (1.161), dry weight~~
25 ~~(0.1781 g), fresh weight (1.483 g), and dry matter accumulation (12.90%).~~ Among the varieties,
26 Monoprecos exhibited the highest germination percentage (66.1%) and seed vigor index (933.8),
27 although it had the highest mean germination time (10.26 days). Shoot length, speed of
28 germination, root length, and root to shoot ratio were statistically similar among varieties. Fresh
29 and dry weights were higher in the Srijana variety, while dry matter accumulation did not vary
30 significantly among varieties.

31 **Conclusion:** ~~Light source of fertilizer like vermicompost in presence of substrate media with coco~~
32 ~~peat have a significant impact on seedling growth of tomato seedling. No variety specific growing~~
33 ~~media were recommended for seedling production in tomatoes.~~ Based on the results, it is
34 recommended to explore the effect of different composition of vermicompost on tomato seedling
35 for healthy seedling production.

36
37 Key words: Growth, Coco peat, Vermicompost, Seedling
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **1. INTRODUCTION**

46
47 In Nepal, 65.7 % of total population is involved in some type of agriculture activities and it
48 contributes 26.26 % to the national GDP where the vegetable sector shares 19.44 % of AGDP ^[1].
49 The area and production of vegetables in Nepal is 284,121 ha and 3,993,167mt respectively with

Commented [1]: Please complete the sentence.

Commented [2]: Please mention only significant results.

50 productivity of 14.01 Mt/ha ^[2]. Among the various vegetable crops grown in the ~~world, tomatoworld~~
51 ~~tomate~~ is one of the economically most important solanaceous crops ^[3]. Demand of this crop is
52 increasing in the world due to its multiple use and second most important horticultural crops in
53 terms of yield in the world ^[4]. The crops can be used directly as vegetables or salads or after
54 processing in making ketchup, pickles, Sauces ^[5].
55 Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum L.*) is the vegetable crop of the *solanaceous* family ^[6]. It is
56 widely grown around the world, and originated from the Andean region of South America and
57 Mexico from the wild ancestor of *Lycopersicon sub species cerasiforme* ^[7]. Seedling production
58 is very vital for horticulture production because seedling influences the overall performance of
59 crops in the field ^[8].
60 Growing media influence seed germination and seedling health of crops ^[9]. Germination of seed
61 is a very critical stage in plant growth and development and under the regulation of hormone and
62 light ^[10]. Traditionally, tomato seedlings were raised in the open field nursery with several
63 problems like lower germination, low seedling vigor index, problems of damping off of the
64 seedlings ~~resulting in the~~ resulting the wastage of huge ~~amounts of amount~~ seed ^[11]. In the
65 controlled house production system, seedlings can be raised in plastic pots, Styrofoam plug trays
66 or plastic trays, and the seedlings that have been raised in plastic trays have higher percentage
67 of germination and seedling establishment rate than those raised in the field nursery ^[12].
68 Different types of growth medium can be used for tomato seedling production in plastic ~~trays~~tray
69 or plastic bags like mixture of soil sand or FYM, mixture of coco peat and vermicompost and
70 others ^[13]. Growing seedlings in the artificial medium without soil or compost is best practices as
71 it prevents the seedlings from soil borne disease ^[14]. Composition of growth medium also affects
72 the quality of seedling ^[15]. Use of the best growing substrate for seedling production ensures an
73 adequate root system growth, ensures the optimum nutrient exchange capacity, and ensures
74 adequate exchange of ~~gasses~~gases from the root system ^[16].
75 Physical properties of growing media such as pore size, number of pores are determined by media
76 particle size and shape and affect the availability of water and air ultimately germination and
77 growth of seedlings ^[17]. Production of seed of Shrijana variety of tomato and seedlings production
78 and distribution of seedlings at lower prices to the farmers of Lalitpur is the major objective of
79 VCDC Lalitpur ^[18].
80 Lalitpur district is one of the leading tomato producing districts of Nepal. Demand for fresh
81 tomatoes is increasing in the country. Despite the great potential of production and continuous
82 efforts from government, tomato growers in this area are facing severe production constraints like
83 low productivity of the tomato varieties, lower establishment rate of tomato seedlings in the field
84 after transplanting, lower germination of the tomato seeds in the fields condition, wastage of
85 higher amount of seeds in fields, mortality of tomato seedlings after establishment and poor
86 seedling heat of tomato seedlings in field and tray condition. Tomato crops need good nursery
87 establishment and healthy seedlings.
88 Seed is the most important determinant of agricultural production potential, on which the efficacy
89 of other agriculture inputs is dependent. Improved crop variety and quality seeds are the most
90 viable way to improve agricultural production and food security in a sustainable manner. Growing
91 medium influences germination of seeds, length and girth of seedlings, seedlings health, root
92 length, seedling vigor etc. ^[19]. Vermicompost and coco peat ~~provide~~provides adequate nutrients
93 necessary for development of seedlings and enhance physical and biological properties of soil by

Commented [3]: Please omit this basic information.

94 decreasing compactness and increasing porosity of medium, which ultimately affect the seed
95 germination and seedling health of plants ^[20]. A good growing medium provides sufficient support
96 to the plant and it serves as a reservoir of water and essential plant nutrients, it also allows good
97 gaseous exchange in the root zone and helps in root development, seedling establishment and
98 vigor ^[21]. Growing media properties such as water holding capacity, electrical conductivity, ion
99 exchange capacity, bulk density, nutrient content influence germination and growth of seedlings
100 ^[22]. Good growing media help in production of quality seedlings, there is a positive relationship
101 between seedling quality and growth and yield performance of tomato ^[23].

102 This study aims to identify whether specific growing media can optimize seedling production for
103 different tomato varieties. By assessing the performance of various growing media on seedling
104 germination and growth parameters, the research seeks to provide insights into improving
105 seedling quality and establishing best practices for tomato cultivation in Nepal.

106

2.0 Material and Methods

2.1 Study site

109 Vegetables crops development center is located at khumaltar Lalitpur. Lalitpur District, part of
110 Bagmati Province, is one of the seventy-seven districts of Nepal. The district, with Lalitpur as its district
111 headquarters, covers an area of 385 km² (149 sq. mi). It is a mid-hill district of Nepal and situated at
112 latitude: 27° 32' 31.0812"N and longitude: 85° 20' 3.4692"E ^[24]. Out of the total cultivable area, 184 ha
113 has been used for tomato production. Prime function of the vegetables crops development center is the
114 production of seeds and seedlings of vegetables crops. Greenhouse of the vegetables crops
115 development center was purposely selected for the study because production and distribution of a large
116 number of vegetables seedlings from the VCDC and uniform environmental condition inside the
117 greenhouse for each treatment of the study.

118

119

2.2 Experimental Design

121 Experiment was conducted on two factor Completely Randomized Design (CRD) which consists
122 of 4 varieties of tomato on 6 different growing media, so that total number of treatments under study were
123 24 and for each treatment 3 replication was done. Plug plastic trays of 72 cell capacity were used for
124 sowing of seed. For each treatment 18 tray cells were used and one column of cells was left empty to
125 distinguish each treatment.

126

2.3 Treatments details

128

129 A total of 24 treatments was done under each replication

Factor -1: Varieties of tomato

131 V1: Srijana

132 V2: Khumal-2

133 V3: Khumal-3

134 V4: Monoprecos

Commented [4]: Please be specific to your study.

135 Varieties of tomato were selected on the aim of testing the germination percentage and mean germination
136 time of tomato seeds which were produced in farms of vegetable crop development centers.
137

138 **Factor-2: Growing media**

- 139 T1: Soil (Top soil from VCDC field)
- 140 T2: Soil+ Sand+ FYM (1:1:1 on the basis of weight)
- 141 T3: Soil+ Sand+ Vermicompost (1:1:1 on the basis of weight)
- 142 T4: Coco peat
- 143 T5: Coco peat +FYM (1:1 on the basis of weight)
- 144 T6: Coco peat + Vermicompost (1:1 on the basis of weight)

Commented [5]: Write this in a paragraph.

145
146 **Layout of the Experiment**

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 147 | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | T1V1 | T1V2 | T1V3 | T1V4 | T2V1 | T2V2 | T2V3 | T2V4 |
| 149 | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | T3V1 | T3V2 | T3V3 | T3V4 | T4V1 | T4V2 | T4V3 | T4V4 |
| 151 | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | T5V1 | T5V2 | T5V3 | T5V4 | T6V1 | T6V2 | T6V3 | T6V4 |
| 153 | | | | | | | | |
| 154 | T2V1 | T2V2 | T2V3 | T2V4 | T4V1 | T4V2 | T4V3 | T4V4 |
| 155 | | | | | | | | |
| 156 | T1V1 | T1V2 | T1V3 | T1V4 | T5V1 | T5V2 | T5V3 | T5V4 |
| 157 | | | | | | | | |
| 158 | T6V1 | T6V2 | T6V3 | T6V4 | T3V1 | T3V2 | T3V4 | T3V3 |
| 159 | | | | | | | | |
| 160 | T4V1 | T4V4 | T4V3 | T4V2 | T6V4 | T6V1 | T6V3 | T6V2 |
| 161 | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | T3V3 | T3V2 | T3V4 | T3V1 | T1V4 | T1V2 | T1V3 | T1V1 |
| 163 | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | T2V4 | T2V3 | T2V2 | T2V1 | T5V2 | T5V3 | T5V4 | T5V1 |
| 165 | | | | | | | | |

166
167

2.5 Plan of work

169

2.5.1 Sterilization of plastic tray

171 Plastic tray was sterilized with the help of sodium hypochlorite in order to kill the harmful pathogen
172 present on it.

173

2.5.2 Preparation of growing media

175 Coco peat was soaked in water in order to break coco peat. And soaked coco peat was seized
176 with the help of palm in order to drain the excess of the water. Sand was collected and sieved in
177 order to make fine sand. Each treatment was prepared separately in a uniform way and filled in a
178 plastic tray (18 tray cells were used for each treatment). Between treatments one column of tray
179 cells was left empty in order to distinguish the treatments and tagged.

180

181

2.5.3 Sowing of seeds

183 Seed was sown directly on a plastic tray and before sowing it was treated with fungicide. Single
184 seed was sown in a tray cell and a total of 18 seeds of single variety was used for each treatment
185 under each replication.

2.5.4 Irrigation

187 Irrigation was done with the help of a rose can twice a day by covering the plastic tray with clean
188 jute sacks till germination of seeds. After germination jute sacks were removed from the seedlings
189 tray.

2.6 Data collection techniques

191 Data were collected for following different parameters:

2.6.1 Germination percentage

193 To measure the seed germination percentage for each treatment, the total number of seeds that
194 were germinated under each treatment was recorded at 7 days after sowing. Data were collected at the
195 interval of 24 hours every day until completion or cease of germination. Seed germination percentage
196 was calculated by following formulae,

197 Germination percentage (%) = (number of seed germinated)/ (total number of seed sown) ×100

2.6.2 Mean Germination time

199 Germination of seeds was observed regularly each 24 hours. After termination of germination mean
200 germination time was calculated by using following formulae:

201 $MGT = \sum (n \times d) / N$ [25]

202 Where n= number of seed germinated on each day

203 d= number of days from beginning of experiment

204 N= Total number of seeds germinated at termination of experiments.

2.6.3 Speed of germination

206 Speed of germination was calculated for each treatment by using following formulae

207 Speed of germination = $n_1/d_1 + n_2/d_2 + n_3/d_3 + \dots$

208 Where, n = number of germinated seeds, d= number of days from sowing of seed

2.6.4 Seedling vigor index

210 Seedling vigor index was calculated by using following formulae,

Commented [6]: Add reference.

Commented [7]: Add reference

Commented [8]: Please write it as equation.

Commented [9]: Add reference

Commented [10]: Add reference

211 Seedling vigor index = Germination (%) × (Shoot length + Root length)

Commented [11]: Please write it as equation.

212

213

2.1.5 Seedling height

215 Seedling heights were measured with the help of a 30 cm scale from base to terminal leaf of the sample plant for each replication, seedling heights were counted from one week of germination up to 28 days after sowing at a 7 days interval.

218

2.1.6 Root length and root and shoot length ratio

220 After 28 days of seed sowing, root and shoot length was measured and noted. Root length and root length ratio was determined by

Commented [12]: Please add citation of this formula.

222 Root shoot length ratio = (length of root) / (length of shoot)

Commented [13]: Please write it as equation.

2.1.7 Fresh and dry weight

224 Sample plants were uprooted after 28 days and fresh weight was noted and then plant samples were subjected to oven dry for the 24 hours at 105 °C and dry weight was noted.

226

2.1.8 Dry matter accumulation

228 After determination of fresh and dry weight of sample plants, dry matter accumulation was determined by using,

Commented [14]: Please write citation of this formula.

229 Dry matter accumulation (%) = (Dry weight) / (Fresh weight) × 100

Commented [15]: Please write it as equation.

231

232

2.3 Statistical Analysis

234 Obtained data were arranged and tabulated in Microsoft Excel. All data were analyzed statistically by using Gen stat 15th edition. Data were subjected to Analysis of variance and Duncan's Multiple range test (DMRT) was used for mean comparison at 5% level of significance and interpretation was done.

237

3. RESULTS

3.1 Germination

240 Germination percentage, mean germination time, and speed of germination were tested on different treatments. There was a significant difference in germination percentage among the different growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. The highest mean germination percentage was recorded in coco peat (72.92), which was statistically at par with coco peat and FYM (67.50), and coco peat and vermicompost (65). This was followed by soil + sand + vermicompost (59.17) and soil + sand + FYM (50.42), while the minimum germination percentage was recorded in soil media (41.67) as shown in Table 1. Similarly, there was a significant difference in germination percentage among the different varieties at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. Among varieties, the highest germination percentage was found in the Monoprecos variety (66.1), whereas the germination percentages of other varieties, i.e., Srijana, Khumal-2, and Khumal-3, were statistically at par. Similarly, the interaction between variety and growth media had non-significant results.

252 There was a significant difference in mean germination time among the different growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. Growing media with coco peat resulted in a lower mean

253

254 germination time (8.922 days), which was statistically at par with coco peat + vermicompost
 255 (8.973), coco peat + FYM (9.094), and soil + sand + vermicompost (9.324). A higher mean
 256 germination time was found in growing media containing soil, sand, and FYM (10.882), which was
 257 statistically at par with soil media (10.392). Similarly, there was a significant difference in mean
 258 germination time among different varieties. The variety Khumal-2 with a lower mean germination
 259 time (9.01) was statistically at par with the mean germination time of Srijana (9.55) and Khumal-
 260 3 (9.55), while a higher mean germination time was found in the Monoprecos variety (10.26 days).
 261 Interaction between growing media and varieties had no significant impact on mean germination
 262 time.

263 There was a significant difference in the speed of germination among different growing media at
 264 the 5% and 1% levels of significance. Maximum speed of germination was recorded in media with
 265 coco peat (1.745), which was statistically at par with coco peat + FYM (1.560) and coco peat +
 266 vermicompost (1.554), followed by soil + sand + vermicompost (1.362). The lowest speed of
 267 germination was found in soil media (0.913), which was statistically on par with soil + sand + FYM
 268 (1.058). However, non-significant results were found for the speed of germination among different
 269 varieties. Interaction between varieties and growth media had non-significant results for the speed
 270 of germination.

271 Table 1. Germination percentage, Mean germination time and Speed of germination as influenced
 272 by different growing media on four different varieties of Tomato in Lalitpur, Nepal

| Treatment | Germination Percentage | Mean Germination time | Speed of Germination |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Growing Media | | | |
| Soil | 41.67 ^d | 10.392 ^b | 0.913 ^c |
| Soil + Sand + FYM | 50.42 ^c | 10.882 ^b | 1.058 ^c |
| Soil + Sand + Vermicompost | 59.17 ^b | 9.324 ^a | 1.362 ^b |
| Coco peat | 72.92 ^a | 8.922 ^a | 1.745 ^a |
| Coco peat +FYM | 67.50 ^a | 9.094 ^a | 1.560 ^a |
| Coco peat + Vermicompost | 65 ^{ab} | 8.957 ^a | 1.554 ^a |
| sem± | 2.74 | 0.248 | 0.0654 |
| LSD | 7.80 | 0.706 | 0.1859 |
| F probability | <0.001** | <0.001** | <0.001** |
| Varieties | | | |
| Srijana | 59.7 ^b | 9.55 ^a | 1.372 |
| Khumal-2 | 56.9 ^b | 9.01 ^a | 1.406 |
| Khumal-3 | 55 ^b | 9.55 ^a | 1.271 |
| Monoprecos | 66.1 ^a | 10.26 ^b | 1.413 |
| sem± | 2.24 | 0.203 | 0.0534 |
| LSD (0.05) | 6.37 | 0.576 | |
| F probability | 0.004** | <0.001** | ns |
| Growing Media* Variety (F probability) | Ns | Ns | ns |

| | | | |
|------------|------|------|-------|
| % CV | 16 | 9 | 16.6 |
| Grand Mean | 59.4 | 9.60 | 1.365 |

273 Note- LSD: Least significant difference, Sem: Standard error of means, CV: Coefficient of
 274 variance. * Denotes significance at 5% level, ** denotes significance at 1% level, ns: denotes non
 275 significance.

276

277 3.2 Shoot Length

278 Shoot length at 14, 21, and 28 DAS was tested on different treatments, and the following
 279 results were obtained. There was a significant difference in seedling shoot length at 14 DAS, 21
 280 DAS, and 28 DAS among different growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance.
 281 Significant differences were also observed in seedling shoot length among the varieties at 14
 282 DAS, but at 21 and 28 DAS, results were non-significant at the 5% and 1% levels of significance.
 283 Seedlings in tray cells also showed significant interaction between varieties and growing media
 284 at 14 DAS at the 5% and 1% levels of significance (Table 2), but the interaction was non-
 285 significant at 21 and 28 days after sowing.

286

287 At 14 DAS, the maximum seedling shoot length was found in the growing medium with
 288 coco peat + vermicompost (2.688), which was statistically at par with coco peat + FYM (2.682)
 289 and coco peat only (2.576). The lowest seedling shoot length was found in media with sand + soil
 290 + FYM (1.195), which was statistically at par with soil media (2.290). When the varieties were
 291 tested, the highest seedling shoot length was found in Khumal-2 (2.687), followed by Srijana
 292 (2.384), which was statistically at par with the shoot length of Khumal-3 (2.374). The lowest shoot
 293 length was found in the Monoprecos variety (2.201). Similarly, a significant interaction was found
 294 between variety and growing media. All varieties except Khumal-2 showed higher mean shoot
 295 length in coco peat + vermicompost media, but the variety Khumal-2 showed the highest shoot
 296 length in the coco peat + FYM medium.

297

298 At 21 DAS, maximum seedling shoot length was found in growing media with coco peat +
 299 vermicompost (5.372), followed by coco peat + FYM (4.938), which was statistically at par with
 300 soil + sand + vermicompost. The lowest seedling shoot length was observed in soil media (4.169),
 301 which was statistically at par with coco peat media (4.495). Non-significant results were found for
 302 seedling shoot length among different varieties.

303

304 At 28 DAS, maximum seedling shoot length was observed in the medium with a mixture
 305 of coco peat + vermicompost (7.852). This was followed by coco peat + FYM (7.147), which was
 306 statistically at par with soil + sand + vermicompost (7.013) and soil + sand + FYM (6.619).
 307 Minimum seedling shoot length was found in soil media (6.02), which was statistically at par with
 308 only coco peat (6.09). There were no significant differences observed among different varieties.

309

310 Table 2. Seedling Shoot length at 14 DAS, 21 DAS and 28 DAS as influenced by different growing
 311 media on four different varieties of tomato in Lalitpur, Nepal.

| Treatments | 14DAS | 21 DAS | 28 DAS |
|---------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Growing Media | | | |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Soil | 2.290 ^b | 4.169 ^d | 6.02 ^c |
| Soil + Sand + FYM | 1.195 ^b | 4.531 ^c | 6.619 ^{bc} |
| Soil + Sand + Vermicompost | 2.290 ^b | 4.928 ^b | 7.013 ^b |
| Coco peat | 2.576 ^a | 4.495 ^{cd} | 6.090 ^c |
| Coco peat +FYM | 2.682 ^a | 4.938 ^b | 7.147 ^b |
| Coco peat + Vermicompost | 2.688 ^a | 5.372 ^a | 7.852 ^a |
| sem± | 0.0575 | 0.1149 | 0.0654 |
| LSD | 0.1636 | 0.3268 | 0.6840 |
| F value | <0.001 ^{**} | <0.001 ^{**} | <0.001 ^{**} |
| Varieties | | | |
| Srijana | 2.384 ^b | 4.472 | 6.980 |
| Khumal-2 | 2.687 ^a | 4.431 | 6.618 |
| Khumal-3 | 2.374 ^b | 4.237 | 6.531 |
| Monoprecos | 2.201 ^c | 4.523 | 7.186 |
| sem± | 0.0470 | 0.0939 | 0.1964 |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.1336 | | |
| F value | <0.001 ^{**} | 0.174 ^{ns} | 0.075 ^{ns} |
| Growing Media* Variety (F value) | <0.001 ^{**} | 0.263 ^{ns} | 0.612 ^{ns} |
| % CV | 8.3 | 8.4 | 12.2 |
| Grand Mean | 2.412 | 4.739 | 6.829 |

312

313 Note- LSD: Least significant difference, Sem: Standard error of means, CV: Coefficient of
 314 variance. * Denotes significance at 5% level, ** denotes significance at 1% level, ns denotes non
 315 significance.

316

317

318

319 3.3 Root Length, Root length and Shoot length ratio and Seed Vigor Index

320 Root length, root length to shoot length ratio, and seed vigor index were tested across different
 321 treatments, and the following results were obtained. There was a significant difference in seedling
 322 root length (28 DAS) among different growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance.
 323 Maximum root length was observed in growing media with coco peat + vermicompost (7.123),
 324 which was statistically at par with the root length of soil + sand + vermicompost media (6.845)
 325 and coco peat + FYM (6.774). Minimum root length was observed in soil media (5.875), which
 326 was statistically at par with soil + sand + FYM (5.986) and coco peat media (6.906) (Table 3).
 327 Non-significant results were found for root length among different varieties. A significant
 328 interaction was found between varieties and different growing media for root length of seedlings.

329

330 There was a significant difference in seedling root to shoot length ratio (28 DAS) among different
 331 growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. The maximum root length to shoot length

332 ratio was observed in coco peat + vermicompost media (1.161). The lowest ratio was found in soil
 333 media (0.895), which was statistically at par with soil + sand + vermicompost (0.981), coco peat
 334 + FYM (0.957), and coco peat only (0.923), as shown in Table 3. Varieties across the growing
 335 media had non-significant results at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. Interaction between
 336 variety and different growing media had non-significant results at the 5% and 1% levels of
 337 significance.

338
 339 There was a significant difference in seed vigor index among the different growth media at the
 340 5% and 1% levels of significance. The highest seed vigor index was found in coco peat +
 341 vermicompost (973), which was statistically at par with coco peat media (964) and coco peat +
 342 FYM (942). This was followed by soil + sand + vermicompost (822), which was statistically at par
 343 with coco peat + FYM (942). The minimum seed vigor index was observed in soil media (524),
 344 which was statistically at par with sand + soil + FYM (618). Similarly, there was a significant
 345 difference in seed vigor index among varieties at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. When
 346 varieties were tested individually across the growing medium, the highest seed vigor index was
 347 found in Monoprecos (933.8), whereas the seed vigor index of the other three varieties was
 348 statistically at par (Table 3). Interaction between variety and different growing media had non-
 349 significant results at the 5% and 1% levels of significance.

350
 351
 352
 353 Table 3. Mean Root length (28DAS), Root to shoot length ratio (28DAS), Seed vigor index as
 354 influenced by different growing media on four different varieties of tomato in Lalitpur, Nepal.
 355

| Treatment | Root length (28 DAS) | Root to Shoot ratio (28 DAS) | Seed Vigor Index |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Growing Media | | | |
| Soil | 5.875 ^b | 0.895 ^b | 524 ^c |
| Soil + Sand + FYM | 5.986 ^b | 0.964 ^b | 618 ^c |
| Soil + Sand + Vermicompost | 6.845 ^a | 0.981 ^b | 822 ^b |
| Coco peat | 6.096 ^b | 0.923 ^b | 964 ^a |
| Coco peat +FYM | 6.774 ^a | 0.957 ^b | 942 ^{ab} |
| Coco peat + Vermicompost | 7.123 ^a | 1.161 ^a | 973 ^a |
| sem± | 0.1902 | 0.0373 | 43.0 |
| LSD | 0.5409 | 0.1059 | 122.4 |
| F Probability | <0.001 ^{**} | <0.001 ^{**} | <0.001 ^{**} |
| Variety | | | |
| Srijana | 6.617 | 0.953 | 816.6 ^b |
| Khumal-2 | 6.586 | 1.009 | 760.6 ^b |
| Khumal-3 | 6.566 | 1.026 | 717.7 ^b |
| Monoprecos | 6.658 | 0.932 | 933.8 ^a |
| sem± | 0.1553 | 0.0304 | 35.1 |
| LSD (0.05) | | | 99.9 |
| F value | 0.977 ^{ns} | 0.105 ^{ns} | <0.001 ^{**} |

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Growing Media* Variety (F probability) | 0.008** | 0.527 ^{ns} | 0.286 ^{ns} |
| % CV | 10 | 13.2 | 18.5 |
| Grand Mean | 6.670 | 0.980 | 807 |

356 Note- LSD: Least significant difference, Sem: Standard error of means, CV: Coefficient of
357 variance. * Denotes significance at 5% level, ** denotes significance at 1% level, ns denotes non
358 significance.

359

360 **3.4 Fresh weight of seedling, Dry weight of seedling, Dry Matter Accumulation (%)**

361 Fresh weight, Dry weight and Dry Matter Accumulation % were tested across the different
362 treatments and following results were obtained:

363 There were significant differences found in the fresh weight of seedlings among different growing
364 media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. The highest seedling fresh weight was found in
365 coco peat + vermicompost (1.483). This was followed by coco peat + FYM (1.297), which was
366 statistically at par with soil + sand + vermicompost (1.194). The minimum seedling weight was
367 found in coco peat (0.763), which was statistically at par with soil media (0.780) (Table 4).
368 Similarly, there were significant differences found in fresh weight among different varieties at the
369 5% and 1% significance levels. When varieties were tested individually across the growing
370 medium, the maximum seedling fresh weight was found in the Khumal-2 variety (1.156), which
371 was statistically at par with Monoprecos (1.143) and Srijana (1.230). The minimum seedling fresh
372 weight was recorded in Khumal-3 (0.978) (Table 4). Interaction between varieties and different
373 growing media also had significant results at the 5% and 1% levels of significance (Table 4).

374

375 There were significant differences found in the dry weight of seedlings among different growing
376 media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. The highest mean seedling dry weight was found
377 in coco peat + vermicompost (0.1781). This was followed by coco peat + FYM (0.1418), which
378 was statistically at par with soil + sand + vermicompost (0.1380). The lowest mean seedling dry
379 weight was found in growing media with coco peat (0.0631), which was statistically at par with the
380 dry weight of soil (0.079) (Table 4). Similarly, there were significant differences found in the dry
381 weight of seedlings among different varieties at the 1% and 5% levels of significance (Table 4).
382 When varieties were tested individually across the different growing media, the maximum mean
383 seedling dry weight was found in Srijana (0.1378), whereas the dry weight of the other three
384 varieties was statistically at par (Table 4). Interaction between growing media and different
385 varieties had non-significant results.

386

387 There were significant differences in dry matter accumulation percentage of seedlings among
388 different growing media at the 5% and 1% levels of significance. The highest mean dry matter
389 accumulation was found in coco peat + vermicompost (12.90). This was followed by soil + sand
390 + vermicompost (11.79), which was statistically at par with coco peat + FYM (10.95), sand + soil
391 + FYM (10.90), and soil (10.23). The lowest dry matter accumulation was recorded in the growing
392 medium with coco peat (8.20) (Table 4). However, varieties across the growing media had non-
393 significant results in terms of seedling dry matter accumulation. Interaction between growing
394 media and different varieties had non-significant results.

395

396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417

Table 4. Mean fresh and dry weight of seedling and Dry matter accumulation (%) as influenced by different growing media on 4 different varieties of tomato in Lalitpur, Nepal.

| Treatment | Fresh Weight of Seedling | Dry weight of Seedling | Dry matter Accumulation (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Growing Media | | | |
| Soil | 0.780 ^d | 0.079 ^d | 10.23 ^b |
| Soil + Sand + FYM | 0.991 ^c | 0.1030 ^c | 10.90 ^b |
| Soil + Sand + Vermicompost | 1.194 ^b | 0.1380 ^b | 11.79 ^b |
| Coco peat | 0.763 ^d | 0.0631 ^d | 8.20 ^c |
| Coco peat +FYM | 1.297 ^b | 0.1418 ^b | 10.95 ^b |
| Coco peat + Vermicompost | 1.483 ^a | 0.1781 ^a | 12.90 ^a |
| sem± | 0.0564 | 0.00595 | 0.651 |
| LSD | 0.1603 | 0.01692 | 1.850 |
| F probablity | <0.001** | <0.001** | 0.004** |
| Variety | | | |
| Srijana | 1.230 ^a | 0.1378 ^a | 11.50 |
| Khumal-2 | 1.156 ^a | 0.1228 ^b | 11.34 |
| Khumal-3 | 0.978 ^b | 0.1118 ^b | 11.90 |
| Monoprecos | 1.143 ^a | 0.1221 ^b | 11.19 |
| sem± | 0.0460 | 0.00486 | 0.531 |
| LSD (0.05) | 0.1309 | 0.01381 | |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| F probability | 0.003** | 0.005** | 0.804 ^{ns} |
| Growing Media* Variety (F pr.) | 0.002** | Ns | ns |
| % CV | 17.3 | 16.7 | 19.6 |
| Grand Mean | 1.127 | 0.1236 | 11.48 |

418 Note- LSD: Least significant difference, Sem: Standard error of means, CV: Coefficient of
419 variance. * Denotes significance at 5% level, ** denotes significance at 1% level, ns denotes non
420 significance.

421

422 4. DISCUSSION

423 Germination percentage, mean germination time, speed of germination varies across the
424 treatments. The higher germination percentage and the higher speed of germination on coco peat
425 is due to having adequate porosity and water holding capacity [26]. According to Zaller, (2007);
426 application of vermi-compost significantly affects the germination of seed which supports our
427 findings. Germination percentage was positively affected by use of fertilizer, and use of suitable
428 growing media, all source of nutrient had a significant impact on germination of seed [27]. Coco
429 peat ~~facilitates earlier~~ ~~facilitates to earlier~~ germination of seed by creating suitable conditions for
430 earlier germination of seed as it provides proper aeration and moisture for seed germination [28]
431 which supports our findings. Growth mediums with coco peat, vermicompost, FYM found
432 significant impact due to combination of all essential factors, which improve physical, biological
433 and nutritional quality of media [29].

434

435 Seedling shoot length, root length, root length to shoot length ratio, seed vigor index and biomass
436 accumulation (fresh and dry weight) of tomato seedling which indicate the overall quality of the
437 seedling varied significantly across the treatments. Compared to controlled treatment
438 considerably all of these parameters were recorded higher in media containing vermicompost and
439 coco peat. This is supported by the higher content of available ~~nutrients~~ ~~nutrient~~ in vermicompost
440 and higher porosity and water holding capacity of coco peat. Higher seedling shoot length
441 resulting from application of vermicompost might be due to the presence of humic acid, which
442 enhances the soil physical condition and facilitates utilization of plant nutrients in tomato [30]. The
443 superiority of vermin-compost on growth and development of root might be due to its property of
444 better physical structure, which ~~contains~~ ~~contain~~ the ~~balanced~~ ~~balance~~ composition of all essential
445 nutrients [31]. Vermicompost helps in better root to shoot length ratio by providing nutrients for
446 healthy seedling growth, by improving physical properties of growing medium Similarly, coco peat
447 provides better aeration, high moisture holding capacity and better root penetration, and in
448 presence of light source of fertilizer like vermicompost coco peat help in better development of
449 root and shoot of seedling [32]. Seedling vigor index determines the overall health of seedling and
450 yield of the crop higher seed vigor index on coco peat + vermicompost, which might be due to
451 having good water holding capacity as well as sufficient porosity which permits adequate gaseous
452 exchange between media and seed and support seedling growth [33]. The lower seed vigor index
453 in soil may be due to poor drainage, development of root might be affected and high incidence of
454 soil borne disease [34]. The higher seedling fresh and dry weight and dry matter accumulation (%)

455 in coco peat + vermicompost might be due to porosity, water holding capacity and nutrient
456 exchange capacity of growing medium which promotes the vigorous growth of root and helps in
457 better uptake of nutrients and ensures better biomass accumulation [35].

458 Difference in germination percentage, mean germination time seed vigor index, fresh and dry
459 weight of seedling among varieties might be due to quality of seed and genetic factor of varieties.

460

461 5. Conclusions

462 In ~~conclusion~~conclusions, the result ~~of the current~~current experiment revealed that tomato
463 seeds on coco peat were successful for higher germination percentage, lower mean germination
464 time, and higher speed of germination. Different growth parameter like seedling shoot length, root
465 length, fresh and dry weight of seedling, dry matter accumulation %, root length, root to shoot
466 ratio and seedling vigor index were seen higher in Coco peat + Vermicompost and lowest in media
467 with only coco peat which was statistically at par soil as control. Among varieties germination %,
468 seed vigor index found highest in Monoprecos variety and mean germination time of Srijana,
469 Khumal-2 and monoprecos were statistically at par. Mean seedling shoot length, root length and
470 root to shoot length ratio did not vary across the varieties. Interaction between varieties and
471 growing media shows significant impact on root length, fresh weight of seedling and DM
472 accumulation. Above results demonstrate that germination and seedling growth of tomato might
473 be differently affected by different growing media and less affected by varieties selected. Growing
474 media treatment was not varieties specific to tomato in seedling production as all varieties give
475 higher germination in coco peat and maximum seedling growth in coco peat + vermicompost.

476 Recommendation to farmers and future researchers

477 Based on the findings of the study, some recommendations have been made which could be
478 useful for farmers, future research, who are involved in production of tomatoes.

479 1. The experiment recommends farmers to use vermicompost + coco peat as an effective
480 growing media for quality seedling production on any varieties of tomato.

481 2. Similar research should be done in different concentrations of vermicompost to evaluate
482 the effect of vermicompost amendments on quality seedling production.

483

484

485 Data availability

486 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

487

488

489

490

491

492

493 REFERENCES

494 MoALD. (2022). *Statistical Information of Neplease Agriculture, Singdurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal:*
495 *Ministry of agriculture and Livestock development-Government of Nepal.*

496

Formatted: Font: Bold

- 497 MoALD. (2022). *Agri-Business Promotion and Statistics Division*. Singhdurbar, Kathmandu: Central Bureau Of Statistics-Government of Nepal.
499
- 498 Gutierrez, E. E. (2018). *An overview of recent studies of tomato*. Alnarp-Sweden.
501
- 499 FAOSTAT. (2019). FAO.
503
- 504 Viuda-Martos, M., Sanchez-Zapata, E., Sayas-Barberá, E., Sendra, E., Pérez-Álvarez, J. A., & Hernández-López, J. (2014). *Tomato and Tomato Byproducts. Human Health Benefits of Lycopene and Application to Meat Products: A Review*. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 54(8), 1032–1049. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.623799>
508
- 509 Knapp, S. (2002). *Tobacco to tomatoes: A phylogenetic perspective on fruit diversity in the Solanaceae*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*.
511
- 512 Singh, K. D. M., Ameta, R. A., shik, K., Jat, R., & Singh Rajawat, K. (2019). *Performance of Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) Hybrids under Polyhouse Condition*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(05), 597–603. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.805.069>
515
- 516 Sterrett, S. (2001). *Compost as Horticultural Substrates*. Lewis publication.
517
- 518 Corti, C., Crippa, L., Genevini, P. L., & Centemero, M. (2013). *Compost Use in Plant Nurseries: Micrological and Physicochemical Characteristics*. *Compost Science & Utilization*, 6, 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1065657X.1998.10701907>
521
- 520 Kathare. (2021). *In Encyclopeia of Biological Chemistry*.
523
- 524 Bharathi, P. V. L., (2018a). *Vegetable nursery and tomato seedling*. Taiwan: World Vegetable Center.
526
- 527 Lin, L. J. (2015). *Raising healthy tomato seedlings* (Vols. 15-795). Shanhua, Tainan: AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center.
529
- 531 Grunert, O. P. (2018b). *Peat based organic grow bags as a solution to the mineral. mires and peat*.
531
- 530 Bharathi, P. V. L., (2018). *Vegetable nursery and tomato seedling*. Taiwan: World Vegetable Center.
533
- 534 Wilson, S. B., Stoffella, P. J., & Graetz, D. A. (2001). *Use of Compost as a Media Amendment for Containerized Production of Two Subtropical Perennials*. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*, 19(1), 37-42. <https://doi.org/10.24266/0738-2898-19.1.37>
537
- 538 Jhang, R. H., Zeng-Qiang, D. U.G. (2012). *Use of spent mushroom substrate as growing media for tomato and cucumber seedlings*. *Pedosphere*.
540
- 547 Ménard, C., & Dorais, M. (2004). *Growing Media Varying in Particle Size and Shape for Greenhouse Tomato*. *Acta Hortic*.
543
- 548 Kafle, A. (2019). *Introduction of vegetable sector and protected cultivation in Nepal and Farm Activities of Vegetable Crops Development Centre, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal Prepared by Arun Kafle Senior Horticulture Development officer*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19251.48>
547

[148] Meena, A. K., Garhwal, O. P., Mahawar, A. K., & Singh, S. P. (2017). *Effect of Different Growing Media on Seedling Growth Parameters and Economics of Papaya (Carica papaya L.) cv. Pusa Delicious*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(6), 2964–2972. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.606.353>

552
[150] Bhardwaj, R. (2013). *Effect of nine different propagation media on seed germination and the initial performance of papaya (Carica papaya L.) seedlings*. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology*.

555
[151] Abad, M., Noguera, P., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., & Noguera, V. (2002a). *Physico-chemical and chemical properties of some coconut coir dust for use as a peat substitute for containerised ornamental plants*. *Bioresource Technology*, 82, 241–245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(01\)00189-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00189-4)

559
[152] Ahmad, M. G., Hassan, B., & Mehرداد, J. (2011). *Effect of some culture substrates (date-palm peat, cocopeat and perlite) on some growing indices and nutrient elements uptake in greenhouse tomato*. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 5(12), 1437–1442. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR10.786>

563
[153] Nkurunziza, E., Nyalala, S., & Umuhoza, K. N. J. (2022). *Effect of seedling quality on growth, yield and quality of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)*. *International Journal of Horticultural Science*, 28. <https://doi.org/10.31421/ijhs/28/2022/10836>

567
[154] World Cities Database. (2023). *Simple Maps-interactive maps and data*. Retrieved from www.simplemaps.com

570
[155] Ellis, R. H., & Roberts, E. H. (1981). *The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds*. *Seed Science and Technology (Netherlands)*. https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=The+quantification+of+agein+and+survival+in+orthodox+seeds&author=Ellis%2C+R.H.&publication_year=1981

575
[156] Hota, S., & Arulmozhiselvan, K. (2017). *Standardization of soilless growth media under capillary rise propagation principle for nursery raising of tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum) seedlings by nutriseed pack*. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences (An International Journal)*, 9(1), 101. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2394-4471.2017.00021.1>

580
[157] Shahzaman, M., Ishtiaq, M., & Azam, A. (2017). *Effect of different fertilizers on seed germination and seedling growth of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) from district Bhimber of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan*. *International Journal of Botany Studies*.

584
[158] Abad, M., Noguera, P., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., & Noguera, V. (2002b). *Physico-chemical and chemical properties of some coconut coir dust for use as a peat substitute for containerised ornamental plants*. *Bioresource Technology*, 82, 241–245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(01\)00189-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00189-4)

588
[159] Yadav, A., & Garg, V. K. (2015). *Influence of vermi-fortification on chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) growth and photosynthetic pigments*. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, 4(4), 299–305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40093-015-0109-z>

592
[160] Atiyeh, R., Lee, S., Edwards, C., Arancon, N., & Metzger, J. (2002). *The influence of humic acids derived from earthworm-processed organic wastes on plant growth*. *Bioresource Technology*, 84(1), 7–14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(02\)00017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00017-2)

596

[597] Zaller, J. (2007). Vermicompost as a substitute for peat in potting media: *Effects on germination, biomass allocation, yields and fruit quality of three tomato varieties*. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 112, 191–199.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2006.12.023>

600

[602] Bhandari, A., & Kharal, B. (2019a). *EFFECT OF DIFFERENT GROWTH MEDIUM ON SEED VIGOR CHARACTERISTICS OF TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum L.) cv. Gaurav-555 IN ARGHAKHANCHI, NEPAL*. 10(9).

604

[603] Yang, L., Zhao, F., Chang, Q., Li, T., & Li, F. (2015). *Effects of vermicomposts on tomato yield and quality and soil fertility in greenhouse under different soil water regimes*. *Agricultural Water Management*, 160, 98–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.07.002>

608

[604] Beattie, L. D., & Garrett, R. G. (1995). *Adventitious shoot production from immature embryos of white clover*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*, 42(1), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00037683>

611

[605] Sarma, B., & Gogoi, N. (2015). *Germination and seedling growth of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) as influenced by organic amendments*. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 1(1), 1030906.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1030906>

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633