

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123909
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Farmers' Information Need and Mitigation Pattern by Extension and Advisory Service (EAS) Providers in a Pluralistic System
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It captured weather forecasting which is a major ingredient in rain fed agriculture to reduce loses. Application of fertilizer in the right dose/amount/time/and mix is quite critical in realizing high yields. Lastly market information is also critical to give farmers the best returns for their efforts in the farm hence increasing income.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is quite suitable and it clearly shows both independent and dependant variables.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive and covers every aspect	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are alright.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Purposeful sampling was correctly applied to target districts with required characteristics. The sample size iwas quite adequate to give a better scientific view of the larger population. Key informants were correctly identified hence highly reliable information was obtained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not adequate, consider including: Maulu, S., Hasimuna, O. J., Mutale, B., Mphande, J., & Siankwilimba, E. (2021). Enhancing the role of rural agricultural extension programs in poverty alleviation: A review. <i>Cogent Food &amp; Agriculture</i> , 7(1), 1886663.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is quite suitable and it shows elegance in writing skills.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Patrice Moranga Samwel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kisii University, Kenya</b>