

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123840
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating herbicides bio-efficacy on sweet sorghum yield, quality parameters and their residual effects on soil microbial diversity
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Re-write the manuscript in journal styles and follow the authors guidelines of journal ➤ Write text with font in times new roman with 1.5 space ➤ Remove the abstract from box ➤ Write Full form of each abbreviation ➤ Write each unit of standard style like kg ha⁻¹ or g ha⁻¹ ➤ In table keep only polled data and no need to give data on year basis ➤ On the basis of polled data result, discussion and conclusion will draw ➤ Re-write the introduction accordingly to title of the manuscript ➤ In text reference are also write ➤ Conclusion is write on the basis of yield and economics ➤ Reference must be write in journal style and delete extra reference which are no mention in text ➤ In place of unweeded control write weedy check plot and in place of weed free check write weed free plot ➤ Symbol of at the rate @ must write before quantity of herbicide spray 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is very good and scientifically and technically sound because an author was tested many new herbicide molecules on sweet sorghum also discussed herbicides bio-efficacy, and their residual effects on soil microbial diversity and drawn a conclusion on the basic of two year experiment (polled data basic). Weed management is bigger challenge in crop production and crop productivity is major affected by biotic stress (weed).</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Mention recent years reference in your manuscript and also add at least one or Journal of Experimental Agriculture International reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. K. Choudhary
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India