

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123593
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of planting density and RDF levels on growth, yield, and seed tuber production of potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as it addresses issues of proper density of potatoes together with a RDF. This will assist farmers to know best spacing of potatoes in order to attain maximum yield, hence improve food situation in the country of research area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is ok, except that I recommended writing of RDF in full when used for first time in sentence	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well written	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I recommend the author to include measured parameters under the materials and methods, and describing how the data was collected so as a different person can follow and repeat the experiment easily. Consider also moving tables 1,2 and 3 to underneath their discussions	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author was able to articulate collected data, analyse it with relevant statistical software, obtained sound results and was able to explain the results well using scientific agronomic concepts and referring to other scientists who did similar experiment	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest the author can include more references of not more than 10years if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is fine for scientific article	
Optional/General comments	A good manuscript with important scientific message	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Mashiq
Department, University & Country	Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Botswana