

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123406
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Weed Management of mustard crop (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) under mid-hill region of Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an important for scientific community. Issues of weed is needed to manage.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Its fine for me	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its fine, but there is needed to delete the duplication in methodology like: Study design: The field experiment was conducted in randomized block design (RBD).....the result and conclusion part is also needed to safe from duplication.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript looks appropriate, but please fallow the journal guidelines while writing the subsections. Please add the missing information, as: acknowledgements and funding sources, ethical approval, competing interests, etc. in the article.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is scientifically correct, but needs some minor correction/revision on it. Please add methodology for weed control efficiency and weed index.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, but need to replace some references (old references) with current references/publications, if possible. Please fallow the journal guidelines/format while referencing.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It need some improvement.	
Optional/General comments	It looks ok. There is a need to add some more information in discussion part of the manuscript. Please, follow the referencing style of the journal. References style should be consistent. Also, revisit the manuscript and made necessary correction for improving the sentences. Please fallow the journal guidelines/format.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Pokhrel
Department, University & Country	Nepal