

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123225
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF THE RELATIVE GROWTH OF BIVALVE CARDIUM COSTATUM (LINNÉ, 1758) OF THE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community.</p> <p>Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	This article is more suitable for the upcoming researchers for their further studies.	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	The title of the article is very much suitable	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	The abstract of the article is very Comprehensive.	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it deals with the calculations of different parameters of bivalve.</p> <p>2. The calculations</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	Yes the references are sufficient and recent.	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Resham Bhalla
Department, University & Country	Loknete Vyankatrao Hiray Arts, Science and Commerce College, India