

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_123447
Title of the Manuscript:	Unravelling Tamoxifen's Neuroprotective Effects Against Manganese-Induced Neurodegeneration, Cognitive Impairment, and Hippocampal Damage via the Nrf-2 Pathway in Adult Male Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>making use of the exact amount of Science that the Author wants to convey, not less but not more; the text also must be understandable to the experts, but also to Scientists in neighboring fields.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>It is appropriate to proceed</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, it is appropriate and meet the expectation</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>'Estrogen and tamoxifen protect against Mn-induced toxicity in rat cortical primary cultures of neurons and astrocytes'</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are missing in some places. kindly check</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is fine.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Over all it is good study and the way they have written the manuscript is satisfactory. Some changes need to be done. Animal weight is mentioned differently in abstract and methods. It would be better if the animal grouping is shown in table. For H & E method reference should be added though it is routine staining procedure. References are missing in some places. kindly check</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suganitha Balasundaram
Department, University & Country	India