

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_124569 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Plant Growth Promoters and Herbicides on Growth, Yield Attribute and Yield of Kharif Hybrid Maize (Zea mays L.) |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it advances our understanding of new sights....it will be helpful for the scholars. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | satisfactory | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is generally comprehensive, summarizing the key objectives, methodology, and findings of the study effectively. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The manuscript follows a logical flow, from the introduction and background to the methodology, results, and conclusion. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript appears scientifically robust due to its thorough analysis of the data, adherence to established research methodologies, and appropriate use of statistical tools. The authors carefully interpret their findings in the context of existing literature, demonstrating an understanding of prior work in the field. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references in the manuscript appear sufficient, as they include a range of both foundational studies and more recent research. However, it may be beneficial to incorporate additional recent studies from the last 5-6 years to ensure the manuscript reflects the most current advancements in the field. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | satisfactory | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Gaurav Dubey |
| Department, University & Country | Nagaland University, India |