

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_123923
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Impact of Sulfur, Magnesium, and Acepate on Mustard Crop Performance
Type of the Article	Research paper

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comparative study on the combined response of different nutrients on mustard crop provides a better understanding to the crop production. Also, this manuscript has given an overall result of mustard. As the research is on sulphur, magnesium the paper seemed to be even more interesting to see the evident results of this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically and technically perfect as the results seemed to be effective with proper data analysis. Even the interaction effects with respect to each parameter was clearly explained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but few references are placed incorrectly and are even missed in the paper. Please check the reference section clearly as most of them have same authors and years, with different titles.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major changes required in the reference section to make this manuscript robust.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Monika Reddy Kodavali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional University, India</b>