

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_123131
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Tea Innovation: Harnessing Orange Rind and Pineapple Core for Functional Beverage Development
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the manuscript focusing on SDG-2, it is found contemporary. A new innovative idea has been applied to produce Eco-Friendly Beverage product with Utilizing Orange and Pineapple Byproducts for Nutrient-Rich Tea Blends.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok, but can be used more suitable title like.... Eco-Friendly Beverage Innovation: Utilizing Orange and Pineapple Byproducts for Nutrient-Rich Tea Blends Innovative Tea Solutions: Enhancing Health Benefits with Sustainable Ingredients from Orange and Pineapple Waste	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please add origin of research, its managerial and practical implication	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Review of literature part should be there. Proper sequencing and step by step development of concept	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Research methodology is quite good, but some more techniques could have been included like Cluster Analysis, Factor Analysis, Sensory Evaluation Analysis, Survival Analysis to make the paper more significant and scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some latest references should be included. Proper in text should be inserted, check author's guidelines	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please check grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	Conceptual framework, latest research techniques, proper review of literature is lacking. Result analysis and discussion should be improved. Proper in text should be inserted, check author's guidelines for referencing style.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asha Sharma
Department, University & Country	Mohanlal Sukhadia University, India