

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123030
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Cooperatives and the Empowerment of Rural Women Entrepreneurs: Insights from Sunamganj, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scholarly community will find value in this publication, particularly scholars and policymakers working in the fields of gender studies, sustainable agriculture, and rural development. Through investigating how green cooperatives promote Bangladeshi rural women, the research offers significant new understandings into the relationship between gender, agriculture, and cooperative forms. Gender-sensitive approaches to poverty reduction and food security can be improved in the future by keeping an emphasis on socio-economic implications and identifying influencing factors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The study's goals, methodology, conclusions, and consequences are all clearly summarized in the extensive abstract. To highlight the analytical rigor, it might be reinforced even more by specifically noting the statistical techniques employed, such as "Multiple Regression Analysis" and "Problems Confrontation Index (PCI)," sooner in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Statistics needs to be provided in terms of number of green cooperatives. The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The organization follows a logical progression from introduction to methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research design is clearly specified, statistical methods are used appropriately, and the text seems to be technically solid and scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	In introduction section : This needs to be justified with citations based on countries, especially in context of India – (In countries like Pakistan, Afghanistan, Bangladesh, and India, women's property rights are often restricted by formal and religious laws)	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja Nagpal
Department, University & Country	International School of Management Excellence, India