

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_124067
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Disease Management in Nursing: A Comprehensive Review of Diabetes Mellitus
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive overview of nursing interventions in the management of diabetes mellitus, a common chronic disease. Through the presentation of case studies and evidence-based procedures, it offers significant insights into practical approaches to enhance patient outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but it can be improved for clarity and understanding i.e. "Nursing Strategies in the Comprehensive Management of Diabetes Mellitus: A Review of Interventions and Outcomes."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While the abstract is thorough in general, a more concise description of the main conclusions and their consequences would be beneficial. Including specific outcomes from the case studies and the overall impact of nursing interventions on patient care would enhance clarity. Additionally, a brief mention of challenges faced in diabetes management could provide a more holistic view.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are well-organized, facilitating easy navigation through the topics.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript displays scientific robustness by incorporating evidence-based practices and extensive case studies that provide real-world context for nursing interventions in diabetes control. Overall, the systematic approach to analysing interventions and results highlights the manuscript's technical integrity, making it a valuable resource for both practitioners and scholars in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should briefly discuss ethical considerations related to patient care and data management, especially in case studies, to reflect a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashi Prakash
Department, University & Country	S. N. Medical College, India