

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123353
Title of the Manuscript:	PROFILE SCANNING OF THE FARMERS OF KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT OF TELANGANA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the paper's title has an exciting motive to explore and document. It focuses on relevant issues and contributes to agricultural fields. The paper touches on essential concepts to capture farmers' profile scanning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract needs to be more comprehensive to capture the idea behind the paper and be more extended. 2. The abstract needs to convey a clear message about the paper effectively. 3. The abstract should summarize the work by including basic elements. 4. The abstract must highlight the introduction, methods, results, and conclusion. 5. The abstract is too short of words, only 36 words, which is too small and below the standard. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction needs to be longer and needs further work on it. 2. The introduction needs adequate information on the Overview of the Karimnagar District. 3. The introduction needs information from previous works and scientific papers on the importance of profile scanning for farmers. 4. A good introduction should have key elements that give insights for readers on the work. 5. The paper needs to start with an interesting fact about profile scanning; indeed, the opening is good but needs further explanation. 6. The introduction should give background information such as context, a brief overview of relevant research, or a summary of knowledge on profile scanning. 7. The introduction should give information on the research problem or question, which needs to clearly state the research question or what article is intended to address 8. The introduction should have the idea behind the paper or the main argument, which must present the central argument or any claim in the article. 9. The introduction should give information about the next section, or it needs to present the article's structure and key sections covered in the subsequent sections or chapters. 10. In general, the introduction is not engaging and needs to be informative by adding more relevant information. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The methodology part needs revision because it needs to include more considerations. 2. The sampling method is not clear and needs refinement (technique) 3. Data collection methods are not well defined (survey and questionnaires, interviews) 4. What are the essential variables of study such as personal profiles (age, education level, farming experience, and decision-making behavior), economic status (farm size, herd size, annual income, and utilization of organic inputs), exposure to extension activities (training, contact, and participation) 5. Data analysis methods should be included (statistical for trends and correlations and thematic analysis for themes and insights) 6. Ethical considerations such as informed consent and confidentiality 7. The methodology section includes contextual descriptions such as geographical (soil type, climate, and access to water) and cultural issues (practices and traditions influence farming trends). 	

Review Form 3

	<p>8. Under the Methodology section, revise Data Collection, Key Profile Parameters, and Analysis Techniques.</p> <p>9. Results and Discussion need to be well structured by outlining the themes into three significant themes such as personal profiles (age, education level, farming experience, and decision-making behavior), economic status (farm size, herd size, annual income, and utilization of organic inputs, Income Sources, Financial Access and Resources, Debt Profile), and agricultural profile (Landholding Size, Crop Cultivation Practices, Irrigation Methods, training received, extension contact, and participation in agricultural programs.</p> <p>10. Include challenges and opportunities for farmers.</p> <p>11. There is no discussion, so include a discussion.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	12. Citations and references need revision, and more relevant references are necessary since these are insufficient to be referenced.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Efa Tadesse Debele
Department, University & Country	Samara University, Ethiopia