

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122316
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF PRICE VOLATILITY OF TURMERIC IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Original article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The paper provides a contribution to the scientific community indicating the importance of predicting volatility. The scientific contribution of this manuscript is substantial, as it provides valuable insights into the impact of emotional exhaustion on employee performance in the automotive industry. The study offers a novel perspective by focusing on this specific sector, which is often underrepresented in the literature. Additionally, the rigorous methodology and detailed analysis enhance the robustness of the findings, making a significant contribution to both theoretical and practical understanding. The results have the potential to inform organizational practices and policies, thus advancing the field of occupational health and employee well-being.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>the title corresponds to the content of the paper</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I suggest that the abstract be structured in the following way: Objective, purpose, findings of the work and implications</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Ok</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically sound and technically robust for several reasons. Firstly, the study employs a clear research design and methodology, ensuring that the findings are reliable and valid. The use of a well-defined sample and appropriate statistical techniques strengthens the credibility of the results. Furthermore, the discussion and conclusions are well-supported by the data presented.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Regarding references, the current citations are adequate and recent enough to support the research effectively. However, including additional recent studies on emotional exhaustion and job performance could further enhance the manuscript's comprehensiveness and relevance.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marija Lakicevic
Department, University & Country	Vrnjačka Banja University of Kragujevac, Serbia