

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_121961
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of aqueous extracts of Costus afer and Vit. C supplement in male mice subjected to sub-chronic exposure of Dichlorvos
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The title of the research work not directly reflected with renal changes• Why the author selected the plant aqueous extracts of <i>Costus afer</i> not any alcoholic extract?• Why only observed the renal physiology biomarkers? Why not liver or other organs?• Why author selected the dose of Group B received the 25mg/kg/bw/day of Dichlorvos only?• On which basis the author chooses the dose of 250mg/kg/bw/day for other groups?• Are there any other sources of Dichlorvos (DDVP) besides pesticides, is not fully clear?• Histological image is not fully identified except first image. What is the full form of RT and ISC? Not mention in image title.• Is there any specific reason for the selection of <i>Costus afer</i>?• Why vitamin C? Why not Vitamin E?• There is no mention about the % of plagiarism?• How much this research investigation impact on society?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandip Kumar Sinha
Department, University & Country	Vidyasagar University, India