

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_123334
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Resistance in Uropathogens Associated with Community Acquired Urinary Tracts Infections in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Multi-drug resistance is a public health concern and responsible for millions of death each year. Taking antibiotics frequently can damage our health in many ways and on top of that, multidrug resistant genes are spreading tremendously. So antimicrobial susceptibility profile of the pathogens of this disease may help the clinicians to provide a better treatment. That why, I found this research very helpful and interesting.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Antimicrobial Susceptibility pattern of Uropathogens Associated with Community Acquired Urinary Tract Infections (UTIs) in Port Harcourt, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It has only minor corrections. Please add most effective and lease effective antibiotics in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the manuscript is appropriate, but the headings are not, the headings of each section should be changed	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I found the research work interesting as because bacterial gene mutation rate is increasing day by day. Antibiotic resistance is a global health concern these days. More and more research work needs to be done to optimize the antibiotics.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adding more references in discussing anti-microbial sensitivity profile is preferable. Otherwise, there was adequate references in the discussion part.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Sultana
Department, University & Country	Primeasia University, Bangladesh