

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_124066
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Storage on Biochemical properties and Bioactive Potential of Mix Fruit Juice Powder Fortified with Carambola Bagasse
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present manuscript is very interesting, the aim being to assess the effect of storage on physicochemical properties and bioactive potential of mix fruit juice powder fortified with carambola bagasse. So, the significantly was found increase moisture, TSS and Water activity, Vitamin C, Antioxidant, Crude fiber, Total phenol, Total carotenoids, Solubility, Overall acceptability reduced during the storage periods respectively.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text is clear and easy to read. The results are very interesting, the present study being carried out to optimise the development of a functional mixed fruit beverage powder fortified with fibre rich fraction of carambola pomace. The authors concluded that spray dried carambola mix juice powder thus can be made into a health promoting reconstituted drink and as well as found increase the shelf life of powder during the storage periods.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The list of references is large and recently.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dumitru Gabriela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania</b>

Review Form 3