

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_124066
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Storage on Biochemical properties and Bioactive Potential of Mix Fruit Juice Powder Fortified with Carambola Bagasse
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides important information regarding the effect of storage on biochemical properties and bioactive potential of mix fruit juice powder fortified with Carambola Bagasse. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of food industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is adequate to the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be restructured. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, the techniques and methods used, the main findings with important data and conclusions;	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction provides an adequate description of the problem addressed and demonstrates the significance of the research problem. However, it is limited in its scientific scope. The methodology used is appropriate to the purpose of the research but could be restructured to enhance its scientific rigour. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of food industry.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents some issues of scientific accuracy that require further attention. For instance, the research methodology is not sufficiently detailed, which hinders an assessment of the study's rigour and reproducibility. Additionally, the data analysis appears incomplete and lacks sufficient statistical evidence, potentially affecting the validity of the conclusions. Furthermore, the literature cited is limited and does not reflect the most recent research in the field, which reduces the manuscript's relevance and theoretical context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some corrections need to be made. I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references;	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, tables, figures and text according to the requirements of the journal; - I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.); - I recommend a critical approach to the literature to enable analysis and interpretation of the information, development of an objective viewpoint and the setting of clear objectives; - The theoretical documentation of the study is incomplete and lacks sufficient sources (materials, reports, publications, etc.) that directly address the subject matter under investigation. For instance, a comprehensive review of the nutritional profile of mix fruit juice powder is absent; - For the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity (the initial chemical composition of the mix fruit juice powder and after 90 days of storage, to determine any changes in the chemical composition of the mix fruit juice powder); - The presentation of the Conclusions is very short. I recommend that conclusions be formulated highlighting the importance of the results obtained. <p>Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Stoin
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Michael I" from Timisoara, Romania