

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_123850
Title of the Manuscript:	The potencial anxiolytic and antidepressant effects of Alpinia zerumbet in elderly individuals
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors of the present manuscript have discussed anxiety and depression patterns in humans and how it affects the overall health of an individual. They have also indicated the importance of <i>Alpinia zerumbet</i> plant and its medicinal properties to treat the above said conditions. This work may add up to the existing knowledge about treating anxiety and depression.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , no changes required	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the present day, anxiety and depression disorders are increasing drastically leading to the search for proper treatment strategies. This manuscript gives an extra bit of information that can support the researchers and medical practitioners in planning their treatment in the above-mentioned conditions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some minor revisions are required The citation patterns have to be uniform. In some places, numbers are used to cite and in some places, names have been used. The plant names have to be in italics everywhere which is missing The images used in the manuscript are original? If no whether the permission is taken from the sources?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Badarinath D. Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	KBN University, India