

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_123392
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity and Taxonomic Classification of Epiphytes in the Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Studying epiphytes is important because they contribute significantly to ecosystem biodiversity, serving as habitat for various organisms and influencing nutrient cycling. Understanding how they interact with their surroundings aids in the conservation of these unique plants and the larger ecosystems they sustain. Thus, study like this portioned its significance as it informs individual on the possibility of saving life forms on earth.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title is suitable for this article. Or The author may add "Inventory"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Author may follow this format: 1st to 2nd sentences – presentation of the importance of the study focus. On the other hand, author may present the pressing issues encountered or heard regarding the focus of the study. 3rd sentence – present the objectives of the study. 4th sentence – present the methods used in the study. 5th sentence and so on – results and discussion and if time permits, author may add simple recommendation.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Authors may add some additional sub sections for detailed presentation of both the previous findings, guide questions, and its purpose.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>As to the replicability of the study and to the usefulness of the study. The research study could be improved by including the research objectives, significance of the study, step-by-step procedure involves in conducting and analysing (research methodology), tabular presentations need to be formatted, and photographs may highlight what specific epiphytic plant was being described or author may provide clear picture of the plant specified. Author may add research implications in the conclusion.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, and author may improve this section following the publication format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The findings of the study add relevant contribution specifically on the existing literatures regarding the focus of the study. Moreover, addressing some comments and suggestions presented above may lead to have a relevant impact to the society and future researchers focusing this type of research study.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elizer M. Rebucas
Department, University & Country	National High School, Philippines