

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123876
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL FERTILITY CHANGES AND CROP PRODUCTIVITY OF BANANA BY CONTINUOUS ADOPTION OF BANANA-COWPEA INTERCROPPING WITH NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its important, intercropping is vital to improve soil health and enhance crop productivity and income. Research work is good but manuscript requires major review. Need to improve English particularly in abstract and introduction (refer comment in manuscript)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No. Refer the comment in manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to redo the manuscript	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its robust demonstration research work. The research incapsulates lot of research work including good datas that supports the research work and findings	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References not sufficient. There is no references in introduction section (refer comment in manuscript)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to improve language.	
Optional/General comments	Need major review SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suraj Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Bhutan