

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Zoology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_123637
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey-Based Analysis of the Usage Experience of Aluminium Metal Vessels in Our Lives for Risk Assessment Among Kadapa City, Andhra Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
-------------------------------------	--------------------	--

### Review Form 3

<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the introduction well-structured and does it effectively outline the rationale and significance of the study?</li> <li>• Are the cited sources and references up-to-date and relevant to the study's focus?</li> <li>• Are the objectives of the study well-defined and aligned with the identified gap?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>ok</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the abstract clearly and concisely summarize the key aspects of the study, including the objectives, methods, results, and conclusions?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the background information provided sufficient to understand the relevance of aluminum usage and its associated risks?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the non-random sampling method justified, and does it appropriately address the study's objectives?</li> <li>• How representative is the sample of the population in Kadapa City, and could this impact the study's findings?</li> <li>• Are the findings interpreted in the context of existing literature and theoretical frameworks?</li> <li>• Are any observed correlations and trends discussed in relation to the study's hypotheses and objectives?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Are the cited sources and references up-to-date and relevant to the study's focus?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Ok . Check the grammar throughout the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>T.Subitha</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Avinashilingam University, India</b></p>