

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_123924
Title of the Manuscript:	Adulteration and Assessment of raw milk quality in and nearby areas of Palampur (Himachal Pradesh), India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The formula for determining the sample volume should be recorded. Add statistical analysis section. The number of resources used is small and should be strengthened. The sources used in the methodology section must be included in the article. The standard method of evaluating the parameters studied in the article should be added. The following articles should be used in the introduction and discussion section: *Detection of adulteration in raw cow milk supplied in the Qazvin province, Iran, during (2015-2016) (Carpathian Journal of Food Science and Technology) *RAW COW MILK QUALITY: PHYSICOCHEMICAL, MICROBIOLOGICAL, AND SEASONAL VARIATION(Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences) *The effect of seasonal variation on the chemical and microbial quality of raw milk samples used in Qazvin, Iran (Journal of Chemical Health Risks) *A review of the importance, detection and controlling of heavy metal in milk and dairy products (Malaysian Journal of Science) * Raw cow milk quality: Relationship between antibiotic residue and somatic cell count (International Food Research Journal)</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Razzagh Mahmoudi</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>University of Tabriz, Iran</p>