

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123914
Title of the Manuscript:	The Implementation of BERAKSI Learning Model to Improve Aspects of Child Growth and Development in Early Childhood Education (ECE) Institutions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Learning is a continuous process and early child hood learning is very crucial for a child's initial development. Hence, the use of sensory and various learning playing techniques is very essential to engage learners' attention. This will enhance easily assimilation of subject matter, retention and holistic child development. Thus this manuscript is a stitch in time.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Too Long. Can be paraphrased as such: BERAKSI LEARNING MODEL AND EARLY CHILD EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME IN THE BULUKUMBA REGENCY	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive as it include all aspects of the research article	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate. The researcher should indicate sections for problem statement and empirical literature. All has been bundled inside the introduction. The DISCUSSION OF RESULT should be substantiated with relevant literature CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION NOT ONLY CONCLUSION	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Educational changes with respect to changing environment is very vital. Since education begins from kindergarten, a lot of research focuses on adult /adult literacy education at the neglect of early childhood education. What learning tools can be adopted to enhance holistic child development outcome at the early educational life of children is very impressive to be researched upon. Therefore, the researcher has a positive right up in this contemporary time, has quantitatively provided the bases of his/her analysis with a control group and relevant discussion of result.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, Quite a good number are recent. If there is the possibility to update old references, it would be good for the article.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	GOOD	
Optional/General comments	Article is good. Author should enforce relevant comments made to make the research paper worth the write up stress.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ntenkeh Theodore Bougema
Department, University & Country	University of Bamenda, Cameroon