

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123602
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher Achievement Motivation and Students Academic Performance in Science Subjects in The Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education in Ijara Sub County, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript "Teacher Achievement Motivation and Students' Academic Performance in Science Subjects in The Kenya Certificate of Secondary Education in Ijara Sub County, Kenya" is important to the scientific community because it sheds light on the relationship between teacher motivation and student performance, particularly in science education. This study contributes to our understanding of how instructors' motivation levels directly influence academic outcomes, as well as prospective techniques for increasing educational quality in similar circumstances.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for this article as it reflects the main variables and the study design.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author may craft an abstract related to the topic. The study talks about the relationship and possible impact of teachers' achievement motivation and students' academic performance, but the ABSTRACT of the said manuscript depicted the QUALITY OF FINANCIAL REPORTING AND BLOCKCHAIN which are not related to the focus of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, however author may add the statement of the problem specifying regression questions. Also, a slight modification of the tabular presentation (Updated formats in presenting tabular data). Additional discussion and support in presenting results.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper has the potential to be accepted. The way the author presented and organized the information. Utilizing regression in the study leads the study to its utmost essence and novelty.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, however, the author may provide more relevant recent references as per new guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Adequate	
Optional/General comments	The findings of the study add relevant contributions specifically to the existing literature regarding the focus of the study. Moreover, addressing some comments and suggestions presented above may lead to a relevant impact on society and future researchers focusing on this type of research study.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elizer M. Rebucas
Department, University & Country	Montevista National High School, Philippines