

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_123463
Title of the Manuscript:	Reconnoitering the Correlation between ICT Facilities Application and Capacity Building of Library Staff in University Libraries in South-South, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Review of paper titled "Reconnoitering the Correlation between ICT Facilities Application and Capacity Building of Library Staff in University Libraries in South-South, Nigeria"</p> <p>Overview</p> <p>1. The paper is original; it reads well and is of importance and useful of students, researchers and administrative staff of their parent institutions. The Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies is the perfect to publish because it can reach each of these target groups. This article has writing scientific reliability with statistically data with reference to the consort statement for reporting of randomized controlled trials. I would recommend publication in the Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies reputed journal.</p> <p>Title and Abstract</p> <p>2. The title identifies the study as a randomized controlled trial and the abstract is presented in a structured format.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>3. The aim of the study and outcome measures is clearly defined with appropriate reference to the literature.</p> <p>Methods Population and Sampling</p> <p>4. The study covered six public university libraries of two selected states (Akwa Ibom and Rivers) in the south-south geo-political zone of Nigeria. This comprised 91 library staff from the University of Port-Harcourt, 81 from the University of Uyo, 30 from the Federal University of Technology, Ikot Abasi, 71 from Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, 79 from River State University, and 64 from Akwa Ibom State University. Null hypotheses was formulated and tested at the 0.05 level of significance.</p> <p>Methods—protocol</p> <p>4. The planned study population is clearly defined together with inclusion criteria.</p> <p>Primary and secondary outcome measures were clearly stated with relevance linked to minimum stated difference in Services in ICT. It explored the correlation between ICT facilities application such as social media handles, internet, and online public access catalogue (OPAC) and capacity building of library staff in public university libraries in South-South, Nigeria. Correlational research design was used to examine the extent to which ICT facilities applications correlate with capacity building of library staff. Rationale and methods for statistical analysis were entirely appropriate.</p> <p>Methods – Experiments / Analysis</p> <p>5. Correlational research design was used to examine the extent to which ICT facilities applications correlate with capacity building of library staff. Three (3) each of research objectives, questions, and hypotheses were formulated to guide the study. The population was 416 library staff from six public university libraries. A total enumeration sampling technique was used. Two sets of self-structured questionnaire instruments entitled 'Information and Communication Technology Facilities Application' (ICTFA) and 'Capacity Building Programmes for Information Professionals in University Libraries' (CBPIPUL) totaling 416 copies were used for data collection out of which, 306 were retrieved and analyzed which represent 74% responsive rate. Face and content validity of the instruments were done. A reliability test was conducted which yielded the coefficients of 0.89 and 0.85 respectively.</p> <p>Methods—assignment</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>6. Data randomization was used to assign to the study group. The generator and executor of the assignment were independent.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>7. Summarizes participant flow throughout the study. There is no significant relationship between social media handles application and capacity building of library staff in university libraries in South Nigeria.</p> <p>8. There is no significant relationship between internet application and capacity building of library staff in public university libraries in South South Nigeria</p> <p>9. There is no significant relationship between OPAC application and capacity building of librarians in public university libraries in South South Nigeria.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>10. The study aims have been answered. The findings of the study were discussed critically in comparison with other studies. The validity of the QoL instrument and reliability of the methodology was discussed in relation to other published literature.</p> <p>11. Well-known References are used for research. Conclusion</p> <p>18. The paper reads well despite the errors in the presentation of the results. The methodology is robust and the subject significantly original to merit publication following revision. This article will make a useful contribution to the literature.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sambhaji G Patil
Department, University & Country	India