

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_122724
Title of the Manuscript:	Medical Aid for Patients with Chronic Illnesses: A Case Study of Assistance to Individuals in Crisis Situation (AICS) Service in Cagayan de Oro City
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript is important as it can provide information on effectiveness and affectivity of medical assistance among patients with chronic illness. 2. This information will also describe how vulnerable beneficiaries feel about medical assistance given to them. 3. And can also bring forward recommendation from patient perspective on how to improve quality of service provided 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Title is suitable. I only request that country of study (Philippine) be included in title to help global readers easily pick place of study</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rephrase the first sentence eg The Medical Aid for Patients is one of the types of assistance under Assistance to Individuals in Crisis Situation (AICS) received by the beneficiaries. The leader in social protection continuously Implements the AICS services to support government efforts in providing aid to individuals and families seeking assistance.] 2. Change 'client' to 'patients with chronic illness', to better capture your topic] 3. Please consider adding a little bit of more information of your result in abstract as against using only one in-comprehensive sentence] 4. Write LGU in full s this is the first appearance of the acronym 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The subsections and structures may need to be re-written. 2. Ethical consideration can be placed under method. Also consider summarizing the ethical consideration as it looks quite lengthy 3. Study design included under method 4. Re-write the study design/data collection to capture what you did as a researcher and not what should be done. This is where you describe how you got your result not how you should get your result. Please write your study design in method using past tense, describing how you collected you data 5. Clearly discuss using sub heading the Data collection and Data analysis under method 6. A highlighted sentences describing "breakdown' of participants is more of demographic characteristics, which should be detailed under findings and not under method. Under method, only state the numbers selected per type of data collection eg FGD (5), In-depth interview (5) and KII (5).] 7. Please describe under this method section how you analyzed your data. Eg using coding, categorizing, theming, four themes emerged. These themes were: necessity, obtainability of services, responsive services, and saved lives. These themes revealed the participant's experiences about availment and access to the AICS program. 	

Review Form 3

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>8. The result section needs to capture the responses from the FGD, IDD and KII. This is a qualitative study and as such to bring out the key information from the respondents as to their view of the quality of AICS services they received. This finding is grossly missing in the finding/result section and should be added.</p> <p>9. Please remove this quote under conclusion as conclusion is where you summarize key finding from your study only</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>Number the references and add more references to discuss your study. Where applicable, consider current references within 10 years</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>With minor corrections, language quality is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Make corrections, expand on method of data collection, include responses from participants, discuss finding with reference to other studies in the field, conclude study using only findings from the study and include additional reference used for your discussion especially within 10 years, and your study is good to go out to add knowledge to users</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Amara Frances Chizoba</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Nigeria</p>