

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122681
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Information Services (CIS): A Vital Tool for Africa's Climate Resilience
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript on the Climate Information Service (CIS) constitutes an essential contribution to the scientific community, as it provides a comprehensive framework for understanding how climate information tools can strengthen climate resilience in Africa. Its interdisciplinary approach facilitates a better understanding of the climatic dynamics in the region, which is crucial for the development of evidence-based policies that promote adaptation and mitigation of climate change effects. I appreciate this manuscript because it thoroughly addresses the interaction between science, policy, and society, highlighting the importance of resilience strategies in a vulnerable and underrepresented context.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>The title is appropriate</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The summary is highly understandable</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>The subsections and structure of the article was restructured, please pay attention to what is in yellow</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript demonstrates a rigorous data analysis supported by well-established methodologies in the field of climate research, ensuring the validity of its findings.  The authors have conducted a thorough review of the existing scientific literature, integrating current and relevant knowledge that reinforces the theoretical and practical foundations of their research.  The methodological approach employed in the manuscript is replicable and transparent, allowing for independent verification of the results and contributing to the scientific robustness of the study.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	<b>The references are sufficient</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the English used is adequate for school communications and articles	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Removed yellow highlight Sections added Subsection added	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nau Silverio Nino Gutierrez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of Guerrero, Mexico</b>