

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124068
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge Level of the Millet Farmers of Bundelkhand region, Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript presents an important scientific tool to assess the knowledge of farmers on millets. The tool is constructed by established dimensions of Difficulty Index and Discrimination Index. The language of the article is lucid making the readers to easily comprehend the content.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is scientifically sound. The Test was constructed based on Difficulty Index and Discrimination Index of Items ranging from 0.30 – 0.80 and the Point bi-serial correlation coefficient at five to one per cent level of significance. The statements of the Knowledge Test were comprehensive including vital dimensions like Variety selection, agronomic management, nutrient management, irrigation management, Insect Pest and Disease Management and Harvesting Management.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Author Name in one of the References may be verified (Ponnusamy in lieu of Pommusamy,2006)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, Commendable	
Optional/General comments	published	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.Vasanthakumar
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India