

# QUALITY PARAMETERS OF TRAY DRIED JACKFRUIT SEED FLOUR

## ABSTARCT

India has a large jackfruit farming industry; however, after the edible part of jackfruit is eaten, the nutrient-rich seeds are thrown away as waste. The study was aimed to investigating the functional properties and sensorial attributes of jackfruit seed flour through various treatments. The results revealed a significant difference between the functional characteristics and sensory attributes of jackfruit seed flour samples processed by soaking the seeds in various soaking media. Based on the results, two seed flour samples (VS<sub>1</sub> and KS<sub>1</sub>) were selected as the best and evaluated for their proximate composition. The cultivar *Varikka* had more crude fiber (2.96%), ash (2.61%), protein (13.17 g/100g) and carbohydrate content (76.82 g/100g). However, both the seed flour samples had negligible amount of fat (VS<sub>1</sub> – 1.72 and KS<sub>2</sub> – 1.68%). The less fat content allows for the prevention of rancidity issues and longer shelf life of the product. The tray drying process can alter the colour, aroma, and textural properties of the seed samples. This procedure makes it feasible to preserve jackfruit seeds by converting them into flour helps in extending their shelf life. The findings revealed that jackfruit seed flour can be employed as a key ingredient in a variety of nutrient-dense food products.

## Keywords

*Jackfruit seed, flour, soaking media, tray drying, functional properties.*

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The focus of research in recent years has been on the search for lesser-known and underutilized crops, many of which have the potential to be useful as human nourishment. The jackfruit, *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, is an Indian native and member of the mulberry family. It is widely distributed over the Indian states of Assam, West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, and Karnataka [16]. Jackfruit seeds possess important minerals such as magnesium, potassium, phosphorous, calcium, sodium, iron, copper, zinc, and manganese [13]. They perform a wide range of tasks, including serving as our bones' building blocks, affecting muscle and nerve activity, and balancing the body's water levels [35]. Jackfruit seeds are rich in dietary fibre and B-complex vitamins, and their high fibre content helps to lower the risk of heart disease, avoid constipation, and limit adipogenesis [34]. Lignans, flavones, and saponins found in jackfruit seeds exhibit antioxidant, anticancer, antiulcer, antihypertensive, and antiaging properties [30]. Every year, vast numbers of seeds are squandered due to challenges in processing and storing them. Jackfruit is considered as underutilized fruit due to a lack of understanding about effective usage, a lack of post-harvest equipment, and supply chain network deficiencies [33]. The seed flour can be added to baked goods for value addition because of their high carbohydrate content and other nutrients, without altering the functional and sensory qualities of the finished product. The tray dried seeds can be ground into flour and incorporated to various food products to increase their value and enhance the shelf life. The objective of this study therefore is to examine the impact of different soaking techniques on the functional properties, sensory attributes and proximate composition of jackfruit seed flour.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Raw materials

The jackfruit cultivars *Koozha* (k) and *Varikka* (v), which are widely available in Kerala, were selected for the study. Whole jackfruits were procured from Instructional farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Trivandrum.

#### 2.1.1 Processing of jackfruit seed flour

44 In the present investigation, to obtain the good-quality of jackfruit seed flour, the jackfruit seeds of cultivars  
45 *Koozha* and *Varikka* were subjected to various soaking treatments. The white arils (seed coat) were peeled  
46 off and the seeds were soaked for 30 minutes in various soaking media, viz., S<sub>1</sub> - water, S<sub>2</sub> - 4% sodium  
47 metabisulphite, and S<sub>3</sub> - 3% sodium hydroxide. After soaking, the brown spermoderm were removed from  
48 the seeds using a stain-less steel knife. The seeds underwent cleaning and washing under running water.  
49 The seeds were gelatinized at 65°C for 10 minutes. Following gelatinization, the seeds were sliced and  
50 tray-dried at 110 °C for 12 hours. After tray drying, seeds from both cultivars were ground into powder,  
51 sieved through a fine wire mesh (150 micron), stored in polyethylene pouches, and used for further  
52 investigation.

## 53 **2.2 Analytical methods**

### 54 **2.2.1 Functional quality analysis of jackfruit seed flour from different treatments**

55 Food's functional properties are determined by its organoleptic, physical, and/or chemical characteristics.  
56 The functional qualities of foods and flours are impacted by the food's components, particularly  
57 carbohydrates, proteins, lipids and oils, moisture, fiber, ash, and other substances or food additives added  
58 to the food (flour), as well as the structures of these components [6]. Water absorption capacity and oil  
59 absorption capacity of the jackfruit seed flour was determined by the method of Niba et al., [22] and Beuchat  
60 [7]. Solubility index and swelling power were determined according to the method of Oladele and Aina [25].  
61 Bulk density and flour dispersibility were determined by the method suggested by **Okaka and Potter [24]**  
62 **and Islam et al., [14]**.  
63

### 64 **2.3 Evaluation of Sensory quality attributes of jackfruit seed flour samples**

65 The organoleptic evaluation the samples was performed by 25 semi trained panelists. To develop the  
66 sensory sample, 10 g of jackfruit seed flour from various treatments was combined with 200 ml of cold  
67 milk. The sensory attributes of the jack fruit seed flour, such as **their** colour and appearance, taste, texture,  
68 flavour, and overall acceptability, are evaluated using a 9-point hedonic scale (1= dislike extremely and 9=  
69 like extremely). The difference in the scores was analyzed using Kruskal – Wallis test.

#### 70 **2.3.1 Selection of the best jackfruit seed flour samples**

71 Based on the results of organoleptic evaluation, the best jackfruit seed flour samples were chosen for quality  
72 analysis.

### 73 **2.4 Determination of proximate composition of jackfruit seed flour samples**

74 Moisture, ash, crude protein and crude fat of the samples were determined by the AOAC [2] method. Crude  
75 fiber content was estimated by the method of AOAC [3]. The carbohydrate content was calculated by  
76 difference method, (100- sum of the values for moisture, crude protein, crude fiber, crude fat and crude  
77 ash).

## 78 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

79 All data obtained from various analysis were pooled and subjected to Completely Randomized Design  
80 (One-way ANOVA) using KAU-GRAPES software. The sensory scores were analysed using Kruskal –  
81 Wallis test.

## 82 **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

### 83 **3.1 Functional properties of the jackfruit seed flour samples**

84 Functional properties of jackfruit seed flour samples from various treatments are depicted in Table. 1. Water  
85 absorption capacity (WAC), also known as water absorption, is the amount of water (moisture) absorbed

86 by food or flour in order to attain the desired consistency and produce a quality food product [6]. In the  
87 present investigation the WAC of Jackfruit seed flour ranged between 194.8 – 203.1 ml/100g. Water  
88 absorption capacity was found to be higher for the cultivar *Varikka* (VS<sub>1</sub> – 202.1ml/100g, VS<sub>2</sub> – 198.7  
89 ml/100g and VS<sub>3</sub> – 197.7 ml/100g). It may be ascribed to the greater concentration of carbohydrates  
90 (namely starch) and fiber in the *Varikka* cultivar compared to the *Koozha* cultivar. The increase in water  
91 absorption capacity of flour could also be attributed to an increase in amylose solubility and leaching, as  
92 well as the degradation of crystalline structure of starch [15]. The results are comparable with the values  
93 reported by Reddy et al.,[28] and Chowdary et al., [10] who reported the water absorption capacity of  
94 jackfruit seed flour as 184.27 ml/100g and 203.4%, respectively.

95 Oil absorption capacity is the binding of fat by the non-polar side chain of proteins. The rate of oil absorption  
96 is very high in foods with high protein content. The oil binding capacity of protein in food depend on the  
97 intrinsic factors such as protein conformation, amino acid composition, and surface polarity or  
98 hydrophobicity [32]. Oil absorption capacity was significantly higher for the cultivar *Varikka* (VS<sub>1</sub> – 87.80  
99 ml/100g, VS<sub>2</sub> – 85.80 ml/100g and VS<sub>3</sub> – 86.50 ml/100g). According to Suresh and Samsher [32], rate of  
100 oil absorption is high in food with high protein content. The findings abide by the study done by Borgis and  
101 Bharati [8] and Reddy et al., [28] who reported oil absorption capacity of jackfruit seed flour as 89.93  
102 ml/100g and 87.65 ml/100g respectively.

103 The swelling capacity is the measure of the starch ability to absorb water and swell. The extent of swelling  
104 is determined by water absorption, temperature and availability of water. It is considered a quality  
105 requirement in high quality food compositions, such as bakery products [26]. The swelling power of the  
106 jackfruit seed flour samples ranged between 3.99 – 4.82 g/g. The highest swelling power was observed for  
107 the cultivar *Varikka* (VS<sub>1</sub> – 4.82 g/g, VS<sub>2</sub> – 4.28 g/g and VS<sub>3</sub> – 4.18 g/g) than the cultivar *Koozha*. It could  
108 be attributed to the high starch content of the cultivar *Varikka*. The swelling capacity (index) of flours are  
109 also influenced by the particle size, species variety and method of processing [32]. The obtained values  
110 are comparable with the values reported by Butool and Butool [9] 3.62 g/g and Ocloo et al., [23] 4.77 g/g.

111 In the food system, solubility is the ability of solid, liquid, or gaseous food (chemical) compounds known as  
112 solutes to dissolve in a liquid, gaseous, or solid solvent. It is measured and determined in terms of the  
113 maximum quantity of solute dissolved in a given solvent at equilibrium [6]. In the present investigation  
114 solubility index of jackfruit seed flour ranged from 1.84 – 2.05%. Solubility index was found to be significantly  
115 higher for the cultivar *Varikka* (VS<sub>1</sub> – 2.05%, VS<sub>2</sub> – 1.97% and VS<sub>3</sub> – 1.92%). The obtained values are  
116 similar with the values reported by Akter and Haque [1] 1.80% and Reddy et al., [28] 1.46%.

117  
118 Bulk density may change depending on how the food material is handled; it is not an intrinsic property of a  
119 food material. Bulk density depends on the size of the particles in the samples and measures the heaviness  
120 of the flour. In the food processing industry, bulk density is important in determining to package and handling  
121 materials and used in the application of wet processes in the food industry [6]. The bulk density of the  
122 jackfruit seed flour samples ranged from 0.66 – 0.73 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. It was observed that the cultivar *Varikka*  
123 exhibited highest bulk density (VS<sub>1</sub> – 0.73 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, VS<sub>2</sub> – 0.71 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and VS<sub>3</sub> – 0.70 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) than the cultivar  
124 *Koozha*. The variation in bulk density could be attributed to the cultivar *Varikka's* higher starch content. The  
125 higher the starch content the more likely the increase in bulk density [15]. The findings abide by the study  
126 done by Nabubuya et al., [21] 0.70 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and Kamal et al., [18] 0.64 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

127 Dispersibility describes flour's tendency to separate from water molecules and displays its hydrophobic  
128 effect. The higher dispersibility, the better is the reconstitution property (Kulkarni et al., 1991). According  
129 to Eke-Ejiofor et al., [12] the dispersibility percentage is a measure of flours strong water absorption capacity  
130 as well as the good quality of gel. In the present investigation the dispersibility of seed flour samples ranged  
131 between 28.33% - 33.66%. The highest flour dispersibility was observed for the treatment VS<sub>1</sub> – 33.66%,

132 while the treatments VS<sub>3</sub> (30.66%), KS<sub>2</sub> (30.33%) and KS<sub>1</sub> (28.33), KS<sub>3</sub> (28.66) were statistically found to  
 133 be on par. The obtained values correspond to the values reported by Sultana et al.,[31] 28% and Arya et  
 134 al., [5] 32.67%.

135  
 136

137 **Table no: 1 Functional properties of jackfruit seed flour from different treatments**

Treatments	WAC (ml/100g)	OAC (ml/100g)	SP (g/g)	SI (%)	BD (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	Dispersibility (%)
VS <sub>1</sub>	202.1 <sup>a</sup>	87.80 <sup>a</sup>	4.82 <sup>a</sup>	2.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	33.66 <sup>a</sup>
VS <sub>2</sub>	198.7 <sup>b</sup>	85.80 <sup>c</sup>	4.28 <sup>b</sup>	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	31.64 <sup>b</sup>
VS <sub>3</sub>	197.7 <sup>c</sup>	86.50 <sup>b</sup>	4.18 <sup>c</sup>	1.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.70 <sup>b</sup>	30.66 <sup>c</sup>
KS <sub>1</sub>	196.7 <sup>d</sup>	84.62 <sup>f</sup>	4.09 <sup>d</sup>	1.84 <sup>e</sup>	0.66 <sup>e</sup>	28.33 <sup>d</sup>
KS <sub>2</sub>	195.0 <sup>e</sup>	85.11 <sup>d</sup>	4.01 <sup>e</sup>	1.87 <sup>d</sup>	0.67 <sup>d</sup>	30.33 <sup>c</sup>
KS <sub>3</sub>	194.8 <sup>e</sup>	84.90 <sup>e</sup>	3.99 <sup>e</sup>	1.85 <sup>e</sup>	0.69 <sup>c</sup>	28.66 <sup>d</sup>
±SE(m)	0.133	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.333
CV%	0.117	0.016	0.315	0.388	1.069	1.89

138 Values are means of triplicates. Values with different superscripts (a,b,c,d) within the same column are significantly different (P≤0.05).  
 139 VS<sub>1</sub>- Varikka soaked in water, VS<sub>2</sub>- Varikka soaked in 3% Sodium hydroxide, VS<sub>3</sub>- Varikka soaked in 4% Sodium metabisulphite, KS<sub>1</sub>-  
 140 Koozha soaked in water, KS<sub>2</sub>- Koozha soaked in 3% Sodium hydroxide, KS<sub>3</sub>- Koozha soaked in 4% Sodium metabisulphite.

141 WAC= Water absorption capacity, OAC= Oil absorption capacity, SI= Solubility index, SP= Swelling power, BD = Bulk density.

### 142 3.2 Evaluation of Sensory quality attributes of jackfruit seed flour samples

143 The sensory quality attributes of jackfruit seed flour are depicted in the following Table 2.

#### 144 3.2.1 Colour and Appearance

145 Colour and appearance aspects of products should not be overlooked because these features may render  
 146 the product acceptable or unacceptable. The appearance is an attribute which a decision is taken to  
 147 purchase or consume [29]. The mean value for colour and appearance ranged from 6.2 – 8.4. highest score  
 148 was observed for the samples VS1 (8.4) and KS1 (8.1), they were found to be on par.

#### 149 3.2.2 Taste

150 Taste is a key aspect in determining whether a consumer would accept a certain food product. When food  
 151 is ingested, its taste provides the consumer with crucial information about its quality and thus its  
 152 acceptability. There is a strong correlation between the quality of taste and product's palatability [20]. The  
 153 mean value for taste ranged from 5.4 – 8.1. There was a significant difference between the scores. The  
 154 treatments VS1 (8.1) and KS1 (7.9) were statistically found to be on par.

### 155 3.2.3 Texture

156 Texture is a multimodal, multisensory food attribute. It is defined as the sensory, functional manifestation  
157 of the surface, mechanical, and structural qualities of foods that are perceived by touch, vision, hearing,  
158 and kinesthetic senses [11]. The mean value for the attribute texture ranged between 5.8 – 7.8. There was  
159 a significant difference between the jackfruit seed flour samples for the attribute texture.

### 160 3.2.4 Flavour

161 Flavor is defined as the sum of perceptions resulting from stimulation of the sense ends that are grouped  
162 together at the entrance of the alimentary and respiratory tracts. The mean value for flavour ranged from  
163 5.5 – 7.9. For the attribute flavour highest score was obtained for the treatments VS<sub>1</sub> (7.9) and KS<sub>1</sub> (7.5),  
164 and they were statistically found to be on par.

### 165 3.2.5 Overall acceptability

166 Finding the treatment that performs the best across all of these criteria, including colour, appearance, taste,  
167 texture, and flavour, makes up overall acceptability. Food's sensory qualities, including taste, texture,  
168 flavour, and appearance, have distinct and significant effects on the overall acceptability of food [32]. The  
169 sensory assessment revealed that the treatments VS<sub>1</sub> (8.0) and KS<sub>1</sub> (7.7) had maximum score for overall  
170 acceptability and they were statistically found to be on par.

171 **Table no: 2 Sensory quality attributes of jackfruit seed flour samples**

Treatments	Colour and Appearance	Taste	Texture	Flavour	Overall Acceptability
VS <sub>1</sub>	8.4 <sup>a</sup>	8.1 <sup>a</sup>	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	7.9 <sup>a</sup>	8.0 <sup>a</sup>
VS <sub>2</sub>	6.6 <sup>b</sup>	5.4 <sup>b</sup>	6.2 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 <sup>bc</sup>
VS <sub>3</sub>	6.9 <sup>b</sup>	6.5 <sup>c</sup>	6.4 <sup>b</sup>	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	6.4 <sup>c</sup>
KS <sub>1</sub>	8.1 <sup>a</sup>	7.9 <sup>a</sup>	7.5 <sup>a</sup>	7.5 <sup>a</sup>	7.7 <sup>a</sup>
KS <sub>2</sub>	6.2 <sup>b</sup>	5.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.8 <sup>b</sup>	5.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>
KS <sub>3</sub>	6.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.1 <sup>bc</sup>	5.9 <sup>b</sup>	6.0 <sup>b</sup>	6.1 <sup>bc</sup>
$\chi^2$	44.331	48.768	42.223	40.8	46.342
p_value	0	0	0	0	0

172 Values with different superscripts (a,b,c,d) within the same column are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). VS<sub>1</sub>- Varikka soaked in water,  
173 VS<sub>2</sub>- Varikka soaked in 3% Sodium hydroxide, VS<sub>3</sub>- Varikka soaked in 4% Sodium metabisulphite, KS<sub>1</sub>- Koozha soaked in water, KS<sub>2</sub>-  
174 Koozha soaked in 3% Sodium hydroxide, KS<sub>3</sub>- Koozha soaked in 4% Sodium metabisulphite.

### 175 3.2.6 Selection of the best jackfruit seed flour samples

176 We chose the best seed flour samples based on the results of the sensory assessment. The sensory  
177 evaluation results demonstrated a substantial difference between the six jackfruit seed flour samples in  
178 terms of colour and appearance, taste, texture, flavour, and overall acceptability. The treatments VS<sub>1</sub> and  
179 KS<sub>1</sub> had the highest score for all the sensory attributes; hence, these two samples were selected for further  
180 quality analysis.

### 181 3.3 Proximate composition of jackfruit seed flour samples

182 The proximate composition of jackfruit seed flour samples is depicted in Table 3.

183 Moisture content is one of the most important parameters which determine the shelf-life quality of food  
184 product. In the present investigation moisture content of the jackfruit seed flour samples ranged from 7.79  
185 – 7.81%. There was no significant difference observed between the jackfruit seed flour samples for  
186 moisture content. The results obtained were in agreement with the values reported by Nabubuya et al.,  
187 [21] and Sultana et al., [31] who reported 7.8% and 8.1% of moisture content in jackfruit seed flour.

188 In the current investigation, the ash content of jackfruit seed flour samples ranged between 2.55 and 2.61%.  
189 It was found that the ash content was substantially higher for the cultivar *Varikka*. The ash content of  
190 jackfruit seed flour was reported as 2.57% and 2.60% by Arefin et al.,[4] and Nabubuya et al., [21]. The  
191 obtained values correspond to the reported values.

192  
193 Protein is a necessary ingredient for the body's vital processes. The protein content of the jackfruit seed  
194 flour samples ranged between 12.58 g/100g to 13.17 g/100g. The protein content was found to be  
195 significantly higher in the cultivar *Varikka*. The results are comparable with the values reported by Juárez-  
196 Barrientos et al., [17] 13.86 g/100 g and Eke-Ejiofor et al., [12] 12.45 g/100 g.

197 In the present investigation the fat content of jackfruit seed flour samples ranged between 1.68 – 1.72%.  
198 The fat content was found to be higher in the cultivar *Varikka*. Jackfruit seed flour has low lipid content,  
199 preventing rancidity and extending its shelf life. The results are consistent with the findings of Islam et al.,  
200 [14] and Palamthodi et al., [27], who reported the fat content of jackfruit seed flour as 1.77% and 1.44%,  
201 respectively.

202 The crude fiber content of the jackfruit seed flour samples ranged between 2.87% - 2.96%. The crude fiber  
203 content of the jackfruit seed flour samples was found to be substantially higher in the cultivar *Varikka*. The  
204 findings abide by the study done by Sultana et al., [31] and Ocloo et al., [23], who reported the crude fiber  
205 content of jackfruit seed flour as 2.8% and 3.19%, respectively.

206 Carbohydrates are one of the most abundant and widespread organic substance in nature. The  
207 carbohydrate content of the jackfruit seed flour samples ranged from 74.30 g/100g to 76.82 g/100g. It was  
208 found that the *Varikka* jackfruit seed flour samples had more carbohydrates than the *Koozha* jackfruit seed  
209 flour samples. The findings are comparable with the values reported by Eke-ejiofor et al [12] and Ocloo et  
210 al. [23], who reported 72.16 g/100 g and 79.34 g/100 g of carbohydrate content in jackfruit seed flour,  
211 respectively.

212

213

214

215

216

217

**Table no: 3 Proximate composition of jackfruit seed flour samples**

Treatments	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (g/100g)	Fat (%)	Crude fiber (%)	Carbohydrate (g/100g)

<b>VS<sub>1</sub></b>	7.81	2.61 <sup>a</sup>	13.17 <sup>a</sup>	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	2.96 <sup>a</sup>	76.82 <sup>a</sup>
<b>KS<sub>1</sub></b>	7.79	2.55 <sup>b</sup>	12.58 <sup>b</sup>	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	2.87 <sup>b</sup>	74.30 <sup>b</sup>
<b>±SE(m)</b>	0.005	0.003	0.011	0.005	0.005	0.05
<b>CV%</b>	0.105	0.223	0.142	0.478	0.28	0.114

218 Values are means of triplicates. Values with different superscripts (a,b,c,d) within the same column are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).  
219 VS<sub>1</sub>- Varikka soaked in water, KS<sub>1</sub>- Koozha soaked in water.

220

## 221 CONCLUSION

222 The purpose of the study was to assess the functional properties, Sensory qualities and proximate  
223 composition of jackfruit seed flour from various soaking treatments. This study used gelatinization and tray  
224 drying as the primary processing techniques for the production of the jackfruit seed flour. The functional  
225 parameters such as WAC, OAC, SP, SI, BD, and dispersibility of the cultivars *Koozha* and *Varikka* jackfruit  
226 seed flour were documented. The functional properties of two different cultivars of jackfruit seed flour were  
227 found to vary significantly. The cultivar *Varikka* had higher WAC, OAC, SI, SP, BD and dispersibility than  
228 cultivar *Koozha*. The statistical analysis of sensory evaluation revealed that the treatments VS<sub>1</sub> and KS<sub>1</sub>  
229 had the highest scores for all the attributes, hence these two samples were chosen for proximal analysis.  
230 The quality analysis revealed that the jackfruit seed flour is a high-quality source of carbs, protein, and fiber.  
231 Jackfruit seed flour had minimal fat content. Reduced fat prevents rancidity and increases flour shelf life.  
232 The tray drying process can alter the colour, aroma, and textural properties of the seed samples. This  
233 procedure makes it feasible to preserve jackfruit seeds by converting them into flour, extending their shelf  
234 life. The findings revealed that jackfruit seed flour can be employed as a key ingredient in a variety of  
235 nutrient-dense food products.

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

## 243 REFERENCE

244

245 1. Akter B, Haque MA. Utilization of jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) seed's flour in food processing:  
246 A review. The Agriculturists. 2018;16(2):131-142.

247 2. AOAC. Official methods of analysis of association of official analytical chemists. 18th Edition,  
248 Washington, DC; 2010.

249 3. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis: 18th Edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists.  
250 Washington, DC. 2005;210.

251 4. Arefin P, Ahmed S, Habib MS, Sadiq ZA, Bobby F, Dey SS, Abdurrahim M, Ashraf T, Islam S, Arefin  
252 A, Arefin MS. Assessment and Comparison of Nutritional Properties of Jackfruit Seed Powder with  
253 Rice, Wheat, Barley, and Maize Flour. Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science.  
254 2022;10(2):544.

255 5. Arya AM, Singh BR, Samsher SC, Neelesh Chauhan V, Singh S. Functional properties of jackfruit  
256 seed flour. FOOD SCIENCE. 2020;11(2):115-119.

257 6. Awuchi CG, Igwe VS, Echeta CK. The functional properties of foods and flours. International  
258 Journal of Advanced Academic Research. 2019;5(11):139-160.

259 7. Beuchat LR. Functional and electrophoretic characteristics of succinylated peanut flour protein.  
260 Journal of Agricultural and Food chemistry. 1977;25(2):258-261.

261 8. Borgis S, Bharati P. Processing characteristics and acceptability of jackfruit (*Artocarpus*  
262 *heterophyllus* Lam.) seeds, physical and functional properties of its flour. EPRA International Journal  
263 of Research and Development. 2020;5(10):193-202.

264 9. Butool S, Butool M. Nutritional quality on value addition to jack fruit seed flour. Int. J. Sci. Res.  
265 2015;4(4):2406-2411.

266 10. Chowdhury AR, Bhattacharyya AK, Chattopadhyay P. Study on functional properties of raw and  
267 blended jackfruit seed flour (a non-conventional source) for food application. 2012;3(3):347-353.

268 11. De Barros SF, Cardoso MA. Adherence to and acceptability of home fortification with vitamins and  
269 minerals in children aged 6 to 23 months: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2016; 16:1-11.

270 12. Eke-Ejiofor J, Beleya EA, Onyenorah NI. The effect of processing methods on the functional and  
271 compositional properties of jackfruit seed flour. Int. J. Nutr. Food Sci. 2014;3(3):166-173.

272 13. Hajj VF, Lopes AP, Visentainer JV, Petenuci ME, Fonseca GG. Physicochemical properties, mineral  
273 and fatty acids composition of Jackfruit seeds flour of two varieties from Brazilian Midwest. Acta  
274 Scientiarum. Technology. 2022;44:e60187.

275 14. Islam MS, Begum R, Khatun M, Dey KC. A study on nutritional and functional properties analysis of  
276 jackfruit seed flour and value addition to biscuits. Int J Eng Res Technol. 2015;4(12):139-147.

277 15. Iwe MO, Onyeukwu U, Agiriga AN. Proximate, functional and pasting properties of FARO 44 rice,  
278 African yam bean and brown cowpea seeds composite flour. Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2016;  
279 31(1):1142409.

- 280 16. Jagadeesh SL, Reddy BS, Basavaraj N, Swamy GS, Gorbal K, Hegde L, Raghavan GS, Kajjidoni  
281 ST. Inter tree variability for fruit quality in jackfruit selections of Western Ghats of India. Scientia  
282 Horticulturae. 2007;112(4):382-387.
- 283 17. Juárez-Barrientos JM, Hernández-Santos B, Herman-Lara E, Martínez-Sánchez CE, Torruco-Uco  
284 JG, Ramírez-Rivera EJ, Pineda-Pineda JM, Rodríguez-Miranda J. Effects of boiling on the  
285 functional, thermal and compositional properties of the Mexican jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*)  
286 seed jackfruit seed meal properties. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2017;29(1):1.
- 287 18. Kamal MM, Chowdhury MG, Shishir MR, Sabuz AA, Islam MM, Khan MH. Impacts of drying on  
288 physicochemical properties, bioactive compounds, antioxidant capacity, and microstructure of  
289 jackfruit seed flour. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery. 2023; 21:1-16.
- 290
- 291 19. Kulkarni KD, Kulkarni DN, Ingle UM. Sorghum malt-based weaning food formulations: preparation,  
292 functional properties, and nutritive value. Food and nutrition bulletin. 1991;13(4):1-7.
- 293
- 294 20. Li XE, Jervis SM, Drake MA. Examining extrinsic factors that influence product acceptance: a  
295 review. Journal of food science. 2015;80(5):R901-909.
- 296
- 297 21. Nabubuya A, Mugabi R, Kaggwa A, Ainebyona P, Nalugya R. Influence of roasting on the proximate,  
298 functional and sensory properties of Jackfruit seeds and amaranth grain composite complementary  
299 flours. Tanzania Journal of Science. 2022;48(1):156-169.
- 300 22. Niba LL, Bokanga MM, Jackson FL, Schlimme DS, Li BW. Physicochemical properties and starch  
301 granular characteristics of flour from various *Manihot esculenta* (cassava) genotypes. Journal of  
302 food science. 2002 ;67(5):1701-1705.
- 303 23. Ocloo FC, Bansa D, Boatin R, Adom T, Agbemavor WS. Physico-chemical, functional and pasting  
304 characteristics of flour produced from Jackfruits (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) seeds. Agriculture and  
305 biology journal of North America. 2010;1(5):903-908.
- 306 24. Okaka JC, Potter NN. Physico-chemical and functional properties of cowpea powders processed to  
307 reduce beany flavor. Journal of Food science. 1979;44(4):1235-1240.
- 308 25. Oladele AK, Aina JO. Chemical composition and functional properties of flour produced from two  
309 varieties of tigernut (*Cyperus esculentus*). African journal of biotechnology. 2007;6(21): 2473-  
310 2476.
- 311 26. Osungbaro TO, Jimoh D, Osundeyi E. Functional and pasting properties of composite Cassava-  
312 Sorghum flour meals. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America. 2010;1(4):715-7120.
- 313
- 314 27. Palamthodi S, Shimpi S, Tungare K. A study on nutritional composition and functional properties of  
315 wheat, ragi and jackfruit seed composite flour. Food Science and Applied Biotechnology. 2021;  
316 4(1):63-75.
- 317 28. Reddy SS, Devi GN, Lakshmi K, Lakshmi KB. Physico-chemical and functional properties of  
318 jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) seed flour. The Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2022;50(4):45-  
319 56.

- 320 29. Romagny S, Ginon E, Salles C. Impact of reducing fat, salt and sugar in commercial foods on  
321 consumer acceptability and willingness to pay in real tasting conditions: A home experiment. *Food*  
322 *Quality and Preference*. 2017; 56:164-72.
- 323 30. Shedge MS, Haldankar PM, Ahammed Shabeer TP, Pawar CD, Kasture VV, Khandekar RG,  
324 Khapare LS. Jackfruit: functional component related with human health and its application in food  
325 industry. *Pharma Innov J*. 2022; 11:824-830.
- 326 31. Sultana A, Parvin R, Alam MK, Akter F, Alim MA. Physico-chemical, functional properties and  
327 storage characteristics of jackfruit seed flour. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary and Animal*  
328 *Sciences*. 2015;3(1):20-25.
- 329 32. Suresh C, Samsher S. Assessment of functional properties of different flours. *African Journal of*  
330 *Agricultural Research*. 2013;8(38):4849-4852.
- 331 33. Vijayaraghavan K, Ahmad D, Ibrahim MK. Biohydrogen generation from jackfruit peel using  
332 anaerobic contact filter. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2006;31(5):569-579.
- 333
- 334 34. Waghmare R, Memon N, Gat Y, Gandhi S, Kumar V, Panghal A. Jackfruit seed: an accompaniment  
335 to functional foods. *Brazilian Journal of Food Technology*. 2019;22:e2018207.
- 336 35. Weyh C, Krüger K, Peeling P, Castell L. The role of minerals in the optimal functioning of the immune  
337 system. *Nutrients*. 2022;14(3):644.

338