

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_124017
Title of the Manuscript:	Doubling the farmer's Income through marigold as intercrop in drumstick
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Intercropping is always fetching with additional income and increased LUE. Article is interesting for intercropping of nutritionally rich moringa with flower production	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be changed as Feasibility of marigold as intercrop in drumstick for interim income generation	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Article can be more scientific if authors include information Weather data during cropping period and soil type of location No.of moringa rows and length of each row and number of marigold plants accomdated between two rows of moringa No.of pickings of moringa	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Some more references covering intercropping of moringa with other crops and marigold need to be added	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need revision	
Optional/General comments	-	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Firdoz Shahana
Department, University & Country	PJ TSAU, India