

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123831
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Nano-Zn, Inorganic, and Organic Nutrient Management Strategies and Their Effects on Growth Indices in Kharif Maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript is important for scientific community. - Maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.), commonly known as corn, is one of the most important cereal crops cultivated globally and is a staple food in many parts of the world. The trying to reach a fertilization program that increases crop productivity, especially fertilization with vermicompost or Nano fertilizers, is an important global topic. - The researcher confirmed in his research that the highest rates of corn yield were with vermicompost and the use of zinc in the form of Nano, and this is considered a new point in the nutrition program. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the research is clear.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea of manuscript is good and worth studying. The introduction simply explains the topic. The descriptions of methods, tables of data, etc. are sufficient for understanding of the presented work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The literature are citations appropriate and adequate. References are sufficient to show the purpose of the research	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The conclusion contains important recommendations that must be studied and attempted to apply. - The use of mineral fertilizers in the form of Nano, such as zinc, and the use of vermicompost fertilizer gave good results. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monier Morad Wahba
Department, University & Country	Egypt