

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_123750
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Cattle Farmers' Awareness on Lumpy Skin Disease: Transmission, Prevention, and Control Measures in Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is interesting manuscript and structurally good. LSD is, now day, crucial and important economic disease of cattle in leather industry. It is production disease rather rapid death. It's preventable viral disease of skin.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it's good.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract lack some points: 1. Figurative (numerical) presentation of result/s (finding) 2. Year in which it was done 3. Conclusion 4. Keyword listing	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	More or less good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher should take care while doing such articles because it needs crucial thinking and application of rule of paper writing. LSD is skin disease of livestock specially cattle. So, after finding results, general recommendation should (how to manage, prevent, control) be forwarded to not only farmers but also stakeholder. Table format of result is NOT good so the author should correct the format.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References were list but the style is NOT normal (APA, listing method should be used). Some references are oldish	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More or less good, but in some part, it needs modification and correction	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Semayat Oyda Odoshe
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia