

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_123750
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Cattle Farmers' Awareness on Lumpy Skin Disease: Transmission, Prevention, and Control Measures in Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides a detailed assessment of cattle farmers' awareness of Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) in Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India. Understanding farmers' knowledge and practices is crucial for developing effective disease prevention and control strategies. This research highlights gaps in awareness and offers insights that could guide targeted educational interventions and improve overall disease management. The manuscript contributes valuable information to the field of veterinary epidemiology and public health, particularly in the context of rural livestock management.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article, "Assessment of Cattle Farmers' Awareness on Lumpy Skin Disease: Transmission, Prevention, and Control Measures in Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India," is clear and descriptive. It effectively conveys the focus on assessing awareness among cattle farmers regarding LSD and covers key aspects such as transmission, prevention, and control measures. An alternative title could be: "Evaluating Awareness and Practices of Cattle Farmers Regarding Lumpy Skin Disease: Insights from Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India." This revision maintains the original intent while emphasizing the evaluation of awareness and practices.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract provides a good overview of the study's focus on cattle farmers' awareness of Lumpy Skin Disease. However, it could be improved by clearly outlining the study's objectives and methodologies. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objectives: The abstract should explicitly state the research goals to provide context for the study. • Methods: Include a brief description of the methods used to assess farmers' awareness, such as survey techniques or data analysis methods. • Results: Summarize the key findings regarding farmers' knowledge and practices related to Lumpy Skin Disease. • Conclusions: Clearly state the implications of the findings for improving disease awareness and control measures among cattle farmers. • 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The structure of the manuscript appears generally appropriate, with clear and logical subsections. However, consider the following suggestions to enhance clarity and organization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction: Ensure that the objectives and significance of the study are clearly outlined. The background information should provide sufficient context for the research. • Methods: Confirm that the methodology is described in detail, including data collection and analysis procedures. Any subsections within Methods should focus on specific aspects of the research design. • Results: Check that the Results section is organized to present findings systematically, with appropriate subsections for different types of results or data. • Discussion: Ensure that the Discussion interprets the findings in the context of existing literature and addresses the implications of the results. Any subsections should focus on key themes or questions. • Conclusion: Verify that the Conclusion summarizes the main findings and their significance, and suggests potential directions for future research. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its thorough methodology and clear presentation of data. The study employs a well-defined research design, utilizing appropriate techniques for data collection and analysis. The results are systematically presented, with comprehensive statistical evaluation supporting the conclusions drawn. Additionally, the manuscript effectively contextualizes its findings within the existing literature, demonstrating a solid understanding of the subject matter and contributing valuable insights to the field.</p>	

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references included in the manuscript are up-to-date and relevant, reflecting the most recent advancements in the field. The use of contemporary sources enhances the manuscript's relevance and accuracy, ensuring that the findings are based on current knowledge and trends. No additional references are needed at this time as the manuscript already includes a comprehensive and recent collection of sources.	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are a few areas where clarity could be improved, such as simplifying complex sentences and correcting minor grammatical errors. Addressing these issues will enhance the readability and professionalism of the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the awareness of cattle farmers regarding Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) in Tiruvarur District, Tamil Nadu, India. It effectively highlights the critical aspects of transmission, prevention, and control measures. While the study is comprehensive, incorporating a discussion on the limitations of the research and potential areas for further study would strengthen the manuscript. Additionally, including a summary of key findings in the conclusion could provide readers with a clearer overview of the study's impact and relevance.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girma Zewdie Delelegn
Department, University & Country	National Veterinary Institute, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia