

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_123189
Title of the Manuscript:	INTER AND INTRASEROTYPE VARIATIONS OF STREPTOCOCCUS PNEUMONIAE PATHOGENICITY IN MICE AND RABBITS.
Type of the Article	Original Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of scientific importance because it links pneumonia in animals with pneumonia in humans, in addition to the selection of the type of animal and the types of serotype mentioned in the research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is better to change the title to (Serotype-Dependent Variations in <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> Pathogenicity in Mice and Rabbits)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are many spelling and grammatical errors in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No Its' not appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientific but technically incomplete as it contains spelling errors, the order of references, the scientific name of the bacteria, how to write the name of the journal in the source and the introduction is very little	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are few in relation to this research, especially the introduction, which is very short and there is no source, so I suggest writing an additional introduction with the sources.	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No Its' not .</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Required notes:</p> <p>1- It is better to change the title to(Serotype-Dependent Variations in <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> Pathogenicity in Mice and Rabbits)</p> <p>2- All bacterial names are written in <i>italics</i></p> <p>3- Key words : Respiratory infection, Pneumococcal disease Bacterial virulence ,Serotype variations, <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i></p> <p>4- There are many spelling and grammatical errors in the abstract</p> <p>5- References :The method of writing references is different. its' writing must be standardized, and the name of the bacteria must be written in <i>italics</i>. The name of the journal must also be written in <i>italics</i></p> <p>5- The research is very short and should consist of 5000 words While this research consists of 1576 words</p> <p>6-No type of serotypes were mentioned in the abstract</p> <p>7- The research is original and has not been published in any other journal</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shler Ali Khorsheed</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Open Educational College, Iraq</p>