

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_122007
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTHROPOGENIC DRIVERS OF FLOODS AND HOUSEHOLD ADAPTATIONS ACCROSS THE COASTAL WETLAND AREAS OF LIMBE AND DOUALA IV MUNICIPALITIES, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">REVIEW</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ANTHROPOGENIC DRIVERS OF FLOODS AND HOUSEHOLD ADAPTATIONS ACCROSS THE COASTAL WETLAND AREAS OF LIMBE AND DOUALA IV MUNICIPALITIES, CAMEROON</p> <p>Specific Comments</p> <p>☒ Title corresponded to the manuscript and is pretty appropriate.</p> <p>☒ Abstract should be more scientific and precise as it needs to cut short to 150 words. There is no point to describe sampling process such as the number of questionnaire and reviewers in this section.</p> <p>☒ Keywords must not stick to manuscript title of the work only; it should incorporate entire manuscript to give the brief and complete understanding.</p> <p>☒ Introduction is all right, but the manuscript should state the purpose of the work in the form of hypothesis. The introduction must answer the questions, what was I studying? Why was it an important question? What did we know it before I did this study? How will this study advance our knowledge?</p> <p>☒ Study Areas and Methods Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the study area to show vulnerability of floods in L1&3Ms and D4M is quite clear and appropriate to the work. Methodology is the backbone of any manuscript and it is not appropriately elaborated.</p> <p>☒ Results and Discussion add some statistical tools like flow charts, radar, surfaces, scatter diagram, tables and maps to validate this portion. Relate your work to the findings of other studies – including previous studies you may have done and those of other investigators. As stated previously, you may find crucial information in someone else's study that helps you interpret your own data, or perhaps you will be able to reinterpret other's findings in light of yours. In either case, you should discuss reasons for similarities between yours and others' findings. Consider how the results of other studies may be combined with yours to derive a new or perhaps better understanding of the challenges. Author's analysis is missing throughout and reframe results and discussion accordingly. Elaborate the portion to the requirement of the study. Eliminate the use of citations in this section unless it is very necessary.</p> <p>☒ Conclusion and Recommendations be sure to state the conclusion and recommendations that can be drawn from your own results and surveys in light of these considerations. You may choose</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>to briefly mention further studies you would draw to clarify in current hypothesis. There is a need to reframe and elaborate the conclusion and recommendations accordingly.</p> <p>✂ References apart from government institutions, some eminent books, newspaper, articles and journals must be cited throughout. Follow the uniformity in the adopted referencing style. The author cited entire references accessed in this study. Most of them are recent research works in a similar domain, hence enhancing its overall quality.</p> <p>General Comments</p> <p>The structure of the text is appreciable, but requires more research orientation as the quality is poor. The manuscript needs an extensive reconfiguration of the ideas in order to make it more readable and organized. A more in-depth analysis of the matter is needed. Some errors in language, sentence and paragraph formation are observed as misleading. A comprehensive and careful proofreading of the entire manuscript is recommended. Correct choice of words is required, avoid general statements and make concrete statements as it puts a question mark on the quality of the work.</p> <p>There is a lack of findings based on analysis of collected information. The author is just beating around the bushes rather than giving some solid justification or findings which can be helpful in the research field. A major revision is needed throughout the study.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahid Jamal
Department, University & Country	Delhi School of Economics, University of Delhi, India