

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123997
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysing Market Integration and Causality of Oilseed Crops: Key Insights and Challenges in Major Tamil Nadu Markets and Interstate Regions of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript offers significant value to the scientific community by addressing a key gap in understanding the socio-economic factors driving the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in India—a country where sustainable agriculture is crucial for both food security and economic stability. The use of both qualitative and quantitative methods enriches the analysis, providing comprehensive insights into the various factors that influence adoption. I particularly appreciate the interdisciplinary approach, which combines elements of agricultural science, economics, and social policy, providing a well-rounded perspective. However, a more detailed exploration of policy implications and targeted interventions could enhance its practical impact.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>I agree with you on the title, it fits the intentions and contents of the paper.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract offers a solid overview but could be improved for greater clarity and detail. It should clearly present the primary research objective to enhance focus. Additionally, a brief mention of how the mixed methods were applied would provide more context to the methodology. The findings could be expanded by emphasizing one or two key results. Lastly, including a statement on the policy implications or practical significance of the research would make the abstract more comprehensive and impactful.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>For a journal like this, I think the structure meets the standard.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust, featuring a well-structured research design and the use of mixed methods for a thorough analysis. Appropriate statistical techniques and a solid theoretical framework underpin the evidence-based conclusions. The authors' attention to potential biases and limitations adds to the study's technical soundness.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references looks okay</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript is clear and well-organized, with precise terminology appropriate for the subject matter. However, minor grammatical or stylistic improvements could enhance readability and coherence. Overall, it meets the standards expected in academic writing.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jephta Mensah Kwakye
Department, University & Country	USA