

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123941
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of coloured sticky traps for monitoring the population of budfly <i>Dasyneura lini</i> on Linseed crop.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research work carried out for monitoring the linseed podfly is eco-friendly method and need of the hour to reduce the pesticide residues not only in the cropping ecosystem but also in all natural resources like soil, water and the living entities in the same.</p> <p>However, the way of writing should be improved with special reference to English language at least with minimal errors.</p> <p>For example "The study of the use of different coloured sticky traps to assess in colour magnetism of budfly on linseed crop was evaluated at field condition at Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh during".</p> <p>It should be "A field study was conducted at Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Raipur, Chhattisgarh <i>Rabi</i> 2022-23 and 2023-24 to evaluate the use of different coloured sticky traps to assess the colour magnetism of budfly infecting linseed"</p> <p>Like this the entire manuscript should be rewritten or revised with meticulous effort.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title of the articles needs slight changes 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, abstract part is quiet good. But it should be written properly. Some of the published papers were referred to getting the journal format. But the format for abstract is not in a uniform manner. Journal should consider this suggestion. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction part is very long. It should be very concise and crisp and to address the need and importance of the research work. Introduction part is double time longer than that of Materials and methods and results and discussion. In Materials and methods part, statistical analysis methodology is missing. According to journal format it was Witten. However, numbering should be corrected. Supporting point to be added from already published work with special reference to use of traps in insect pest management 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> After strengthening the manuscript with some more references from the same line of work even conducted for some other crops, then only it meets the criteria that scientifically robust and technically sound. Data is repeated in the form of graph. That is not necessary. Also the caption is having title as Image 1. Whether the author gone through the journal format before submission or not? As per the journal format separate "Conclusion" Part is missing. Author should keep the uniformity in mentioning the crop name. either linseed or flaxseed with the exception of first time introduction. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>∴</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recent references are lacking in this manuscript. Also same line of work has to be quoted for strengthening the current work and its results. References are not in the journal format. References should be mentioned as a number format in the text and according to be arranged in the list. Kind suggestion is, author should thoroughly go through the temple or model paper for the journal format according it has to be revised. 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, it should be improved a lot to meet the suitability of the information for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	As a whole the manuscript should be rewritten with most care especially for the journal format.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Indiragandhi
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India