

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_123655
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE STUDY OF BETELVINE (Piper betle Linn.) CULTIVARS UNDER VARYING DOSES OF PHYTOHORMONE-TRIACONTANOL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is written very well but Introduction and conclusion part is not up to the mark, it needs to be partial modification/ improvement. Language and explanatory part of manuscript is weak. Some medicinal uses of leaves and edaphic factors like (soil, pH etc.) may also be the part of Introduction.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs modification	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	At 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: 3.3 Leaf area increment- Fresh weight and dry weight of 100 leaves. (Incomplete sentence)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references must be added for effective manuscript, more literature should be consulted. References are not up to the mark as per Journals guideline for authors.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs improvement	
Optional/General comments	Needs improvement	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Corrected as per reviewer's comments.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sidharth Tiwari
Department, University & Country	India