

PERFORMANCE STUDY OF BETELVINE (*Piper betle*Linn.) CULTIVARS UNDER VARYING DOSES OF PHYTOHORMONE-TRIACONTANOL

ABSTRACT

Betel vine (*Piper betle*Linn.) belongs to the family Piperaceae. The experiment was carried out with two factorial randomized block design combining 3 replications and 18 no. of treatments. Adopted plant spacing was 50 cm x10 cm and plot to plot distance was 60 cm. Treatment Details: Factor 1: Triaccontanol – 0.1% (T₁), Triaccontanol – 0.05% (T₂), Triaccontanol – 0% (T₃), Factor 2: Cultivars: Utkal Sudam, Kali Bangla, Kotki, SimuraliBhabna, SimuraliGol Bhabna, Chalanilocal .Nutrients were applied as FYM (10 tonnes ha⁻¹) and N:P:K::200:100:100 Kg ha⁻¹year⁻¹. Regarding the interaction effect of cultivar with the effect of Triaccontanol, the cultivar Kotki treated with Triaccontanol @ 0.1% (T₁) recorded highest average vine elongation per month (32.83 cm). Whereas both the cultivar Kotki and Kali Bangla, when treated with Triaccontanol @ 0.1% (T₁) recorded maximum number of leaves per vine per year (4.60). The highest content of total Chlorophyll (3.89 mg/g) and essential oil (0.82%) was obtained from the cultivar Simurali Gole Bhabna treated with Triaccontanol @ 0.1% (T₁). The cultivar Utkal Sudam with Triaccontanol @ 0.05% (T₂) was observed highest Phenol content (142.58 mg GAE /100g). Maximum shelf life (18 days) was obtained from the cultivar Kali Bangla and Kotki treated with Triaccontanol 0.1% (T₁). From this experiment, highest benefit:cost ratio (3.03) was obtained from the cultivar Kali Bangla treated with Triaccontanol @ 0.1%(T₁) and lowest benefit:cost ratio (1.75) was recorded in cultivar Chalani local without the use of Triaccontanol i.e. in controlplot.

Key words: Triaccontanol, cultivars, Betel vine, leaf yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Betel vine (*Piper betle* Linn.) is an evergreen, perennial, dioecious climbing herb and present itself as an important cash crop. Leaf is a rich source of eugenol, chavicol, methyl chavicol (used in perfumery and medicine) andin protein, minerals, vitamins and amino acids (Chatterjee, 1999). It is mainly cultivated in South-East Asian countries, viz., India,

Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines, Taiwan and Papua New Guinea for its leaves, which are used as a masticatory. In India, it is grown on commercial scale as cash crop in the states such as Assam, West Bengal, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Meghalaya, Orissa, Karnataka, Kerala, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and Tripura. There are about 100 varieties of betel vine (Guha, 1997; Maity, 1989).

On an average about 66% of betel vine production is contributed by the state of West Bengal where it is cultivated on about 20,000 ha covering about 4-5 lakh *boroj* employing about the same number of agricultural families (Guha, 2006). That apart, a small *boroj* of about three decimal area can generate employment opportunity for an agricultural worker throughout the year (Bhowmick, 1997). Subsequently, leaves worth about Rs 30-40 million are exported to the countries like Bahrain, Canada, Great Britain, Hong Kong, Italy, Kuwait, Nepal, Pakistan, Saudi Arab and many other European countries (Jana, 1996; Singh *et al.*, 1990). Contrary to production percentage, due to the huge utilization, the demand for quality planting material of betel vine is increasing day-to-day. But current production of leaves is not enough for the fast-growing demand of local and international market. A survey over several years indicated between 125 to 150 local cultivars (landraces) of betel vines in India. Many of these varieties differ from each other in several properties. Variety with prefix Desi in their names invariably refer to the variety 'Bangla' in West Bengal, 'Kapoori' in Maharashtra and 'Desawari' in Madhya Pradesh (Balasubrahmanyam *et al.*, 1990). Betel vine is cultivated in a 'boroj', with a specific microclimate. Various climatic factors like temperature, relative humidity and canopy temperature play an important role on growth and yield of betel vine (Walker, 1965).

Keeping the above facts in view a field experiment was carried out at the research plot (boroj) under the Department of Plantation Crops & Processing, Faculty of Horticulture, Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar to study the performance of six cultivars under varying levels of a phytohormone i.e. triacontanol in respect of their growth and leaf yield.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

The experiment was carried out at the research plot (boroj) under the Department of Plantation Crops & Processing, Faculty of Horticulture, Uttar Banga Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar, West Bengal. The vines of six cultivars viz. Utkal Sudam, Kali Bangla, Kotki, Simurali Bhabna, Simurali Gole Bhabna and Chalani local was used as planting material. The experimental field was situated in *Tera* agro-climatic zones of West Bengal at 26.52°N latitude and 89.10°E longitude. It was laid out in two factor randomized block design with three replications and eighteen number of treatments. Single node cuttings of six different cultivars were planted during 2018 followed single row system of planting with a row to row spacing of 50 cm, plant to plant spacing of 10 cm and plot to plot distance was 60 cm, accommodating sixty plants per plot of 3 m × 1.8 m size. FYM (10 t ha⁻¹) and Vermicompost (6 t ha⁻¹) was applied along with N:P:K:: 200:100:100 kg ha⁻¹. The data was recorded at

three months interval in a year starting from June in the starting year of the experiment to May in the next year. Growth parameters like vine elongation, petiole length, length of internodes, leaf area and yield parameters like leaf yield vine⁻¹ and estimated leaf yield, fresh and dry weight of 100 betel leaves etc. was recorded. Triaccontanol was applied by diluting in water. To make 0.1% Triaccontanol, 5 ml of Triaccontanol was mixed with 5 litre of water and was sprayed at monthly interval. Simultaneously to make 0.05% Triaccontanol, 2.5 ml was added with 5 litre of water. The control plot were kept untreated.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

3.1 Vine elongation

Data presented in Table 1, represents the effect of triaccontanol on vine elongation and internodal length of different varieties during the period of experiment. It was found that among the four quarters, the maximum vine elongation (35.05 cm) was observed in second quarter (Sept-Nov) with the application of treatment T₁ (0.1% triaccontanol). Similarly, Pariari and Imam (2012a) also reported that during September–November the vine length was significantly higher (107.05 cm) in Simurali Sanchi. The minimum vine elongation (24.09 cm) was recorded in first quarter with treatment T₃ under control condition, which was statistically *at par* with same treatment application in third quarter (25.70 cm). It had also been observed that within the four quarters, among all the varieties the significant vine elongation was observed highest in cultivar Chalani local (36.37 cm) in the month of September–November in second quarter which was statistically *at par* with Kali Bangla (35.88 cm) in the first quarter. The minimum vine elongation has been observed in variety Utkal Sudam (18.03 cm) during the month of June–August (1st quarter).

The significant variation of vine elongation was observed amongst the varieties in relation with the treatment combination during the different quarters (Table 1). Among all the varieties, variety Chalani local (39.08 cm) showed maximum vine elongation with the application of growth regulator triaccontanol @ 0.05% during the second quarter which was statistically *at par* with the Kali Bangla (38.33 cm) and Kotki (38.13 cm) in the first and second quarter respectively. Similarly, Pariari and Imam (2012a) in their experiment also reported that vine length showed significant variation among different cultivars which may be due to the climatic changes. In another study, Mohanta and Pariari (2016) also noted similar observation on vine elongation that differs at monthly interval with respect to temperature, rainfall, relative humidity. The minimum vine elongation was observed in variety Utkal Sudam (17.41 cm) in the first quarter with the application of treatment T₁ (0.1%).

Internodal length:

Whereas in case of internodal length, it had been found that there was a significant variation in the internodal length in different treatments whereas maximum internodal length (6.77 cm) was found in the treatment T₁ (0.1%

triacontanol) i.e., in the first quarter which is statistically *at par* with the treatment T₂ (6.68 cm) and T₃ (6.54 cm). It was also observed that there was a significant variation in internodal length among the varieties, such as Chalani local (7.27 cm) showed maximum internodal length in the month of September-November which was statistically *at par* with Kali Bangla (7.00 cm) and Kotki (7.05 cm) in the same months. The minimum internodal length was found in variety Utkal Sudam (4.26 cm) during the month of December-February (3rd quarter).

It has also been observed that maximum internodal length was found in the second quarter in comparison with the all four quarters. It is apparent from Table 1, that variety Kotki is showing maximum internodal length i.e., 7.49 cm during the third quarter under the control condition subsequently followed by Chalani local (7.61 cm and 7.36 cm) with the application of triaccontanol 0.1 and 0.05 percent respectively which was statistically *at par* with Kali Banga i.e., 7.24 cm. The minimum internodal length was obtained during the first quarter in variety Utkal Sudam with 4.24 cm under control plot which was statistically *at par* with treatment T₁ i.e., 4.33 cm after the application of 0.1% triaccontanol in the same variety Utkal Sudam. According to Pariari and Imam (2012) the longer vine with shorter internode is a desirable character in betel vine which produced maximum number of leaves due to increased number of nodes.

3.2 Petiole length

The longest petiole length was found in variety Utkal Sudam i.e., 9.31 cm during the second quarter. Pariari and Imam (2012) also reported that cultivar Chamundali Bhabna recorded significantly longest petiole (10.60 cm). Whereas the shortest petiole length was obtained in the variety Utkal Sudam (5.53 cm) during the first quarter. In case of Triaccontanol treatment, T₁ showed maximum petiole length in the third quarter i.e., during the months of December-February whereas, minimum effect was found during the first quarter in T₃ i.e., 6.20 cm.

Data recorded on the table 2, represents the effect of different treatment on petiole and leaf area of the individual cultivars in different quarters. Significant variation on petiole length increment was recorded among the cultivars and the longest petiole length (9.91 cm and 9.85 cm) was recorded in cultivar Utkal Sudam in both second and third quarter with respect to the application of treatment T₁ which is followed by Kotki (9.61 cm). Reddy (1996) also reported that petiole length of betel leaves varied significantly among cultivars (6.6–5.2 cm).

3.3 Leaf area increment

The leaf area was measured variety wise accordingly in respective of four quarters whereas maximum leaf area was found in variety Simurali Gole Bhabna in both first and fourth quarter (120.30 cm² and 119.15 cm²) respectively. A field experiment conducted by Saikia *et al.* (1995) at Assam Agricultural University reported that maximum leaf area of 116.41 cm² was found in cultivar Local Bangla. The minimum leaf area was found in variety

SimuraliBhabna (109.20 cm²) during the second quarter which was *at par* with the cultivar Kali Bangla (117.30 cm²). Among three treatments, treatment T₁ (0.1% triacontanol) has recorded maximum effect on leaf area during the month of March-April (4th quarter) and minimum effect was recorded under control condition in the month of September-October (2nd quarter). Rahaman *et al.* (1997) reported significant variation in leaf area from 22 sq.cm to 147.20 sq.cm among 27 genotypes of betel vine.

Whereas, data recorded of leaf area in table 2, showed that maximum leaf area (133.39 cm²) was recorded in cultivar Simurali Gole bhabna in respect of treatment T₂ (0.05% triacontanol) which is statistically *at par* with Simuralibhabna (129.17 cm). The minimum leaf area was recorded in cultivar Kotki (98.2 cm) under the control plot in second quarter. Pariari and Imam (2012) reported that the highest leaf area (167.82 sq.cm) was recorded in Ghanagette, which was *at par* with SimuraliJhal (166.45 sq.cm) and ChamundaiBhabna (164.37 sq.cm).

Fresh weight and dry weight of 100 leaves.

The price of export leaves is determined by the leaf weight, so the present studies (Table 3) represent the data between two seasons i.e., post monsoon and spring season, where it has shown that there was a significant variation of fresh weight of 100 leaves among different cultivars. The maximum fresh weight of 100 leaves was recorded in cv. SimuraliBhabna (444.45 g) during the month of March-April followed by cv. Kotki (406.89g) which was *at par* with Kali Bangla (394.00 g). Significantly higher fresh leaf weight (364.38g) was observed in Simurali Sanchi (Pariari and Imam 2012). The minimum fresh weight (304.40 g) leaves were recorded in Chalani Local. Table 3 also recorded the significant variation in dry weight of 100 leaves among the cultivars and the maximum dry weight (88.33 g) were recorded in Chalani local. It has also been observed that application of treatment T₁ (0.1% triacontanol) gives higher fresh weight (426.56 g) as well as maximum dry weight (183.33 g) during the March- April month.

Significant variation effect of triacontanol on fresh as well as dry weight of 100 leaves has been recorded between two seasons among the cultivars (Table 3). Significantly higher fresh leaves weight (472.67 g) was recorded in cultivar Utkal Sudam in the month of March-April (spring season) with respect to the application of treatment T₁ (0.1%) which was statistically *at par* with cv. Kotki (452 g) with the same treatment effect. Experiment conducted by Das *et al.* (1995) on evaluation of eight cultivars from Bengal regarding maximum fresh weight and dry weight of 100 fresh leaves reported that cultivar Ghanagette recorded maximum fresh (380.75 g) and dry weight (44.60 g). The minimum significant variation of dry leaves weight (98.0 g) was recorded in cv. Chalani local during the months of Octo- Nov with respect to the application of T₁. The minimum fresh and dry weight (324.47 g and 109.97 g) were recorded under the T₃ during the month of Oct-Nov.

3.4 Projected leaf yield (lakh/ha)

Cultivars	Vine elongation (cm)	Internodal length (cm)
-----------	----------------------	------------------------

The projected yield has been calculated by converting monthly leaf number in year by multiplying per hectare population of plants. It is apparent from Table 3 that application of treatment T₁ (Triaccontanol @ 0.1%) produced maximum leaf yield (5.90 lakh) and in respect of cultivar highest leaf number was observed in Kali Bangla (5.74 lakh) which was statistically *at par* with both cv. SimuraliBhabna and Kotki (5.56 lakh) respectively. Regarding projected yield, Pariari and Imam (2012) reported that the cultivar Simurali Deshi recorded significantly higher leaf number (60.32 lakh ha⁻¹). The lowest leaf number were recorded in Chalani local (5.28 lakh). As par the interaction effect cv. Kotki treated with Triaccontanol @0.1% (T₁) recorded the highest leaf yield (6.14 lakh) which was statistically *at par* with Kali Bangla (6.13 lakh) with same treatment doses. The cultivar Chalani local produces the lowest leaf yield (4.95 lakh) without application of Triaccontanol(T₃). Chatterjee (1999) also reported the similar finding that application of Triaccontanol increased leaf yield by 12-18%.

Table 1. Varietal performance and triaccontanol effect on vine elongation (cm) and internodal length (cm) of betelvine.

	1 st	2 nd	3 th	4 th	1 st	2 nd	3 th	4 th
Utkal Sudam	18.03	30.36	27.56	27.39	4.60	5.03	4.26	5.35
Kali Bangla	35.88	33.45	24.79	27.28	5.99	7.00	6.26	6.38
Kotki	27.56	35.09	26.90	26.75	6.30	7.05	6.52	6.29
SimuraliBhabna	31.70	34.37	25.75	27.29	6.54	6.76	6.81	6.81
Simurali Gole Bhabna	27.26	32.67	24.81	27.11	6.52	6.86	6.47	6.74
Chalani local	22.19	36.37	26.76	27.27	6.30	7.27	6.21	6.66
S.Em. (\pm)	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
CD at 5%	0.021	0.02	0.021	0.019	0.021	0.02	0.021	0.019
Triacontanol								
T₁	29.93	35.05	26.81	27.86	6.15	6.77	6.27	6.47
T₂	27.30	33.18	25.77	27.10	5.90	6.68	6.08	6.44
T₃	24.09	32.92	25.70	26.59	6.08	6.54	5.91	6.21
S.Em. (\pm)	0.238	0.21	0.20	0.197	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
CD at 5%	0.687	0.63	0.58	0.567	0.015	0.014	0.015	0.013
Cultivarx Triacontanol								
Utkal Sudamx T₁	19.19	37.32	28.61	27.61	4.33	5.39	4.56	5.38
Kali Bangla x T₁	38.33	31.51	24.53	28.32	6.45	7.24	6.41	6.88
Kotki x T₁	34.61	38.13	27.61	30.17	6.39	6.74	6.54	7.04
SimuraliBhabna x T₁	36.94	37.46	27.41	28.34	6.73	6.93	7.14	6.64
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₁	27.14	30.35	25.43	26.55	6.47	6.69	6.77	6.72
Chalani local x T₁	23.38	35.54	27.29	26.16	6.55	7.61	6.21	6.17
Utkal Sudam_x T₂	17.41	28.46	26.39	26.70	5.24	5.07	3.94	5.64
Kali Bangla x T₂	36.60	33.31	25.3	27.29	5.92	7.06	6.43	6.42
Kotki x T₂	24.59	29.51	26.56	24.71	5.86	6.94	6.66	6.59
SimuraliBhabna x T₂	30.11	32.27	25.40	27.02	6.23	6.61	6.70	6.75
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₂	30.70	36.48	25.61	26.58	5.94	7.05	6.54	6.55
Chalani local x T₂	24.38	39.08	25.39	30.31	6.19	7.36	6.23	6.67
Utkal Sudam_x T₃	17.50	25.32	27.70	27.88	4.24	4.63	4.27	5.02

Kali Bangla x T₃	32.72	35.52	24.54	26.23	5.59	6.72	5.93	5.85
Kotki x T₃	23.49	37.63	26.52	25.39	6.65	7.49	6.36	5.25
SimuraliBhabna x T₃	28.06	33.40	24.45	26.51	6.66	6.74	6.59	7.05
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₃	23.93	31.17	23.41	28.21	7.17	6.84	6.11	6.94
Chalani local x T₃	18.81	34.50	27.60	25.34	6.16	6.85	6.19	7.14
S.Em.(±)	0.583	0.5	0.49	0.481	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.011
CD at 5%	1.683	1.54	1.43	1.39	0.036	0.034	0.036	0.033

1 – 1st quarter (June- Aug). 2 - 2nd quarter (Sept-Nov.). 3 -3rd quarter (Dec-Feb) and 4- 4th quarter (March-May). 5- T₁ (Triacontanol 0.1%). 6- T₂ (Triacontanol 0.05%) and 7-T₃ (Control i.e. 0%).

Table 2. Varietal performance and triacontanol effect on petiole length(cm) and leaf area (sq.cm) of betelvine.

Cultivars	Petiole length(cm)				Leaf area (sq.cm)			
	1 st	2 nd	3 th	4 th	1 st	2 nd	3 th	4 th
Utkal Sudam	5.35	9.31	9.14	9.01	115.53	110.59	112.61	117.06
Kali Bangla	6.38	8.77	8.79	8.62	115.06	113.69	117.30	115.35
Kotki	6.29	8.61	8.98	8.99	111.20	110.00	108.45	111.38
SimuraliBhabna	6.81	8.71	9.19	8.77	119.14	109.28	115.16	114.60
Simurali Gole Bhabna	6.74	8.65	8.80	8.84	119.15	116.85	116.74	120.30
Chalani local	6.66	8.74	8.49	8.42	111.23	114.06	110.45	112.49
SEm(+)	0.007	0.007	0.042	0.008	0.352	0.35	0.387	0.319
CD at 5%	0.019	0.019	0.122	0.022	1.10	1.012	1.116	0.920
T1	6.47	8.98	9.20	8.99	117.90	116.25	114.82	118.58
T2	6.43	8.73	8.83	8.98	116.28	113.60	114.04	114.79
T3	6.20	8.69	8.66	8.35	111.48	107.38	111.50	112.24
SEm (±)	0.005	0.005	0.030	0.005	0.249	0.248	0.273	0.225
CD at 5%	0.013	0.014	0.086	0.016	0.719	0.715	0.789	0.650
CultivarxTriacontanol								
Utkal Sudamx T₁	5.38	9.85	9.91	9.43	118.74	120.05	115.47	122.46
Kali Bangla x T₁	6.88	9.04	8.71	8.64	119.54	120.07	118.64	116.39
Kotki x T₁	7.04	8.56	9.61	8.95	122.68	116.19	107.32	122.51
SimuraliBhabna x T₁	6.64	8.87	9.30	9.26	115.45	112.46	118.47	115.20
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₁	6.72	8.74	9.23	9.15	120.55	113.28	120.66	119.33
Chalani local x T₁	6.17	8.82	8.46	8.53	110.41	115.43	108.35	115.58
Utkal Sudam_x T₂	5.64	8.94	9.04	9.15	105.33	105.13	110.05	104.90
Kali Bangla x T₂	6.43	8.43	8.85	8.61	113.36	110.49	111.50	115.22

Kotki x T₂	6.59	8.71	8.49	9.40	110.64	115.67	111.14	110.40
SimuraliBhabna x T₂	6.75	8.82	9.21	9.22	129.17	111.51	121.70	116.30
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₂	6.55	8.46	8.80	9.14	133.39	119.57	115.36	130.44
Chalani local x T₂	6.67	9.04	8.57	8.40	105.80	119.28	114.46	111.49
Utkal Sudam_x T₃	5.02	9.16	8.47	8.47	122.49	106.50	112.32	123.82
Kali Bangla x T₃	5.85	8.85	8.81	8.61	112.28	110.51	121.75	114.44
Kotki x T₃	5.25	8.56	8.83	8.64	100.28	98.15	106.88	101.24
SimuraliBhabna x T₃	7.05	8.45	9.06	7.83	112.79	103.87	105.30	112.33
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₃	6.93	8.75	8.36	8.24	103.52	117.70	114.21	111.15
Chalani local x T₃	7.14	8.37	8.44	8.34	117.47	107.47	108.55	110.43
SEm(±)	0.011	0.012	0.073	0.013	0.61	0.607	0.67	111.15
CD at 5%	0.033	0.034	0.211	0.038	1.76	1.752	1.933	110.43

1 – 1st quarter (June- Aug). 2 - 2nd quarter (Sept-Nov.). 3 - 3rd quarter (Dec-Feb) and 4- 4th quarter (March-May). 5- T₁ (Triacantanol 0.1%). 6- T₂ (Triacantanol 0.05%) and 7-T₃ (Control i.e. 0%).

Table 3. Varietal performance and triacantanol effect on fresh weight and dry weight of betel leaves and projected leaf yield in hectare of betelvine.

Cultivar	Oct-Nov	Oct-Nov	March-April	March-April	Projected leaf yield (lakh/ha)
	Fresh wt. (g)	Dry wt. (g)	Fresh wt. (g)	Dry wt. (g)	
Utkal Sudam	376.51	137.53	383.78	177.56	5.46
Kali Bangla	338.45	142.38	394.00	182.00	5.74
Kotki	368.93	120.40	406.89	182.89	5.56
SimuraliBhabna	378.11	144.84	444.45	189.33	5.56
Simurali Gole Bhabna	331.02	130.93	390.67	171.11	5.54
Chalani local	304.40	88.33	363.56	170.22	5.28
SEm(±)	0.122	0.140	1.823	1.084	0.012

C.D.	0.353	0.405	5.263	3.128	0.034
T₁	382.77	137.30	426.56	183.33	5.90
T₂	341.68	134.94	386.11	180.22	5.53
T₃	324.27	109.97	379.00	173.00	5.14
SEm(±)	0.086	0.099	1.289	0.766	0.008
CD at 5%	0.250	0.286	3.721	2.212	0.024
Cultivar×Triacontanol					
Utkal Sudamx T₁	395.40	158.80	472.67	190.00	5.75
Kali Bangla x T₁	377.00	177.20	422.67	186.67	6.13
Kotki x T₁	395.20	115.20	452.00	189.33	6.14
SimuraliBhabna x T₁	372.60	113.00	451.33	190.00	5.86
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₁	385.20	168.60	391.33	174.00	5.97
Chalani local x T₁	371.20	91.00	369.33	170.00	5.54
Utkal Sudam_x T₂	347.13	148.60	309.33	173.33	5.59
Kali Bangla x T₂	303.13	123.00	370.67	189.33	5.75
Kotki x T₂	425.00	155.20	404.00	190.00	5.43
SimuraliBhabna x T₂	376.93	163.07	432.00	188.00	5.45
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₂	331.07	131.20	430.00	170.00	5.62
Chalani local x T₂	266.80	88.60	370.67	170.67	5.35
Utkal Sudam_x T₃	387.00	105.20	369.33	169.33	5.05
Kali Bangla x T₃	335.20	126.93	388.67	170.00	5.34
Kotki x T₃	286.60	90.80	364.67	169.33	5.12
SimuraliBhabna x T₃	384.80	158.47	450.00	190.00	5.37
Simurali Gole Bhabna x T₃	276.80	93.00	350.67	169.33	5.01
Chalani local x T₃	275.20	85.40	350.67	170.00	4.95
SEm(±)	0.212	0.243	3.158	1.877	0.020
CD at 5%	0.611	0.701	9.116	5.418	0.059

T₁ (Triacontanol 0.1%), 6- T₂ (Triacontanol 0.05%) and 7-T₃ (Control i.e. 0%).

3.5 Qualitative parameters:

Significant variation in qualitative parameters of leaf in different betelvine cultivars were also observed in this finding. The highest content of total Chlorophyll (3.89 mg/g) and essential oil content (0.82%) was obtained from the cultivar Simurali Gole Bhabna treated with Triacontanol @ 0.1% (T₁). On the other hand cultivar Utkal Sudam treated with Triacontanol @ 0.05% (T₂) was observed highest Phenol content (142.58 mg GAE /100g), and cultivar Kali Bangla treated with Triacontanol @ 0.1%(T₁) was observed lowest Phenol content (67.58 mg GAE /100g). Regarding shelf life of betel leaves, maximum (18 days) was obtained from the cultivar Kali Bangla and Kotki treated with Triacontanol 0.1%(T₁).

Fig.1.

(a). Phenol content (mg GAE /100 g) in betelvine leaves of different cultivars under varying levels of Triacontanol.

(b). Chlorophyll content (mg/g) in betelvine leaves of different cultivars under varying levels of Triacontanol.

(c) Essential oil content (%) in betelvine leaves of different cultivars under varying levels of Triacontanol



(d) Shelf life (days) of betelvine leaves of different cultivars under varying levels of Triacontanol

Sudam Bangla Bhabna Gole local
Bhabna

Sudam Bangla Bhabna Gole local
Bhabna

(a)

(b)



(c)

(d)

3.6 Economic return of betel vine:

From the present studies (Table 4), it was found that the benefit cost ratio among all the cultivars showing significant variation in respect of leaf yield. The cultivar Kali Bangla has recorded the highest B:C ratio i.e., 3.03, 2.94 and 2.83 respectively under the influence of all the treatments T₁, T₂ and T₃ with respect to the highest selling prices/1000 leaves followed by UtkalSudam (2.39). The lowest B:C ratio was recorded in Chalani local (1.75).

Table 4. Economic analysis of the experiment

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Name of cultivars	Fixed cost (Rs.)	Leaf yield (Lakh ha ⁻¹)	Selling prices/1000 leaves	Gross return (Rs.)	Net return (Rs.)	B:C ratio
Utkal Sudam × T ₁	33992	5.75	150	86250	49789	2.37
Kali Bangla × T ₁	33992	6.13	180	110340	73879	3.03
Kotki × T ₁	33992	6.14	120	73680	37219	2.02
SimuraliBhabna × T ₁	33992	5.86	120	70320	33859	1.93
Simurali Gole Bhabna × T ₁	33992	5.97	120	71640	35179	1.96
Chalani local × T ₁	33992	5.54	120	66480	30019	1.82
Utkal Sudam × T ₂	33992	5.59	150	83850	48623	2.39
Kali Bangla × T ₂	33992	5.75	180	103500	68273	2.94
Kotki × T ₂	33992	5.43	120	65160	29933	1.85
SimuraliBhabna × T ₂	33992	5.45	120	65400	30173	1.86
Simurali Gole Bhabna × T ₂	33992	5.62	120	67440	32213	1.91
Chalani local × T ₂	33992	5.35	120	64200	28973	1.83
Utkal Sudam × T ₃	33992	5.05	150	75750	41758	2.23
Kali Bangla × T ₃	33992	5.34	180	96120	62128	2.83
Kotki × T ₃	33992	5.12	120	61440	27448	1.81
SimuraliBhabna × T ₃	33992	5.37	120	64440	30448	1.90
Simurali Gole Bhabna	33992	5.01	120	60120	26128	1.77

× T₃

Chalani local × T ₃	33992	4.95	120	59400	25408	1.75
--------------------------------	-------	------	-----	-------	-------	------

4. CONCLUSION:

Betel vine is known for its leaf and leaf production is the key factor for economic analysis of the crop. Keeping this in view, the present experiment was carried out to evaluate the growth and leaf yield performance of six betel vine cultivars in four quarters under different treatments i.e., 1st quarter (June- Aug), 2nd quarter (Sept-Nov.), 3rd quarter (Dec-Feb) and 4th quarter (March-May) with the application of T₁ (Triacantanol 0.1%), T₂ (Triacantanol 0.05%) and T₃ (Control). It has been found that the crop growth and yield differ with the quarters. Post rainy season i.e., second quarter was found to be most favorable period for betel vine's growth and production of leaves due to high humid conditions at that period whereas winter season produce the least number of leaves due to stunted growth. Among the cultivars as per the interaction effect cv. Kotki treated with Triacantanol @0.1% (T₁) recorded the highest leaf yield (6.14 lakh) which produced the maximum marketable leaves per vine per year. Hence this cultivar can be recommended for the cultivation under *Terai* region of West Bengal.

REFERENCE

Balasubramanyam, VR and Rawat, AKS. Studies on morphology and chemistry of *Piper betle*.
L. Journal of Plantation crops 1990; 18 (2): 78-87.

Bhowmick, S. 1997. *Paan: Anadrita Laxmi (In Bengali)*. “Betel leaf: The Neglected Goddess of Wealth.” Moyna Prakashani, Calcutta.

Chatterjee, SK (1999). Water stress effect on betelvine (*Piper betle* L.) and its alleviation by n-Triacontanol. *Acta Horticulturae*; 502:93-98.

Das, J. N., Das, S. C., Mohanty, C. R., and Nayak, B. B. 1995. Relative performance of some Bangla varieties of betel vine at Bhubaneswar. *Orissa J. Hort.* 23: 104–107.

Guha, P. 1997. “Paan Theke Kutir Silpa Sambhabana” (In Bengali). “Exploring Betel Leaves for Cottage Industry”. In: *Krishi, Khadya-O- Gramin Bikash Mela—A Booklet published by the Agricultural and Food Engineering Department, IIT, Kharagpur, India pp; 15-19.*

Guha, P. 2006. Betel leaf: The Neglected Green Gold of India. *Journal Human Ecology*; 19(2): 87-93

Jana, BL. Improved technology of betelvine cultivation. A paper presented in the “seminar-cum-workshop on Betelvine leaf Marketing”, held at state cashew nut farm, Directorate of Agricultural Marketing, Digha, Midnapur (W.B.), India 1996.

Maity, S. Extension Bulletin: The Betelvine. All India Coordinated Research Project on Betelvine, Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessarghatta, Bangalore, India 1989.

Mohanta, H and Pariar, A. Effect of climatic factors on the growth and leaf yield of betelvine (*Piper betle* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science* 2016;8 (2): 1006 – 1009

Pariari, A and Imam, M N. Evaluation of betelvine (*Piper betle* L.) cultivars in the gangetic alluvial plains of West Bengal. *Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops* 2012; 21: 1-8.

Rahaman, M, Das, N D and Jana, S C. Phenotypic stability for yield and Yield attributes in betelvine (*Piper betle* L.). *Journal of Plantation Crops* 1997; 25(1): 57-61.

Reddy M L N 1996 Morphological variations in betelvine (*Piper betle* L.) collections. *J. Plantn. Crops* 24: 115–118.

Saikia, L., Bhuyan, C. K. and Dutta, P. K. 1995. Study on growth, yield and keeping quality of betel vine (*Piper betle* L.) cv. Local Bangla as influenced by source and level of nitrogenous fertilizers. *Indian cocoa, Arecanut spices J.* 19: 46 – 50

Singh, K K, Balasubrahmanyam, V R and Kochhar, V K. 1990. Effect of different packing methods, temperature conditions, treatment with chemicals on the senescence and storage behaviour of betel (*Piper betle*L.) leaves. *Journal of Plantation Crops.* 18 (1): 23- 28.

Walker, JC. Use of environmental factors in screening for disease resistance. *Annual Review of Phyto pathology* (1965);3: 197 -208.

UNDER PEER REVIEW