

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121451
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF BIOSAKYNE, A FORMULATION BASED ON ESSENTIAL OILS FROM <i>Zingiber officinale</i> FOR SUSTAINABLE CONTROL AGAINST SUGARCANE SMUT DISEASE CAUSED BY <i>Sporisorium scitamineum</i> IN RATOON CROPS.
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research article is written well and made a sincere attempt and it qualifies to be published in the journal. The abstract is compact and represents the essence of entire work except minor correction in data. The data indicates that natural products effectively control smut disease in sugarcane, demonstrating significant reduction in disease incidence compared to chemical treatments. The study supports the integration of these eco-friendly alternatives in disease management practices, highlighting their potential for improving crop health & yield while promoting sustainable agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is well chosen	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The data mentioned in sugar yields is not matching with table data, required critical corrections.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The respected table of each subsections should be below particular subsections means, all the tables as well as figures should be cited serially in the text where they have been discussed.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is written well but the data depicted in each table and running matter of subsections were not matching. Kindly verify each subsections data & their respective table. Also, check the spelling of words as it is wrong at some places.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are cited well, just need to correct & write in the format as per the journal guidelines. Some references are missing & some references are missing viz., 27,28 &29. Also add some current references supporting your work.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mention yield losses, economic losses to cane grower & in cultivation area, production & productivity of particular location in severe condition. 2. Give current research being carried out, Gaps in literature, purpose of your study, & add future aspects in conclusion. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Minnatullah
Department, University & Country	Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India