

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_123566</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Toxicity of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles (ZnO-NPs) on Seed Germination and Seedling Development of Maize</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The objectives that this written work sets for handling and discussing a highly important topic are remarkable. The manuscript has been effectively written, well organized, and categorized with information. The study's determinations reflect logic and are persuasive. The work presents an original contribution and is supported by strong experimental data. The introduction's scientific claims and the organization's structure have been shown to be perfect, and they are deeply supported by recent references. Due to the availability of the specified detailed information on the results obtained from this research compared to those from earlier research studies, the reader is able to comprehend the concept and techniques of the current study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are many abbreviations throughout the text without any clarification, especially in your figures as well as tables.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	You should also consider using standard deviation bars in your charts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes this manuscript's scientific claims and the organization's structure have been shown to be perfect, and they are deeply supported by recent references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is a mess of fragmented sentences and poor arrangement. The text involves the most repetition of a number of verbs and phrases. There is a lot of verb and phrase repetition in the content of the article.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors of this manuscript have an ambitious objective and draw on an interesting dataset. I thoroughly enjoyed reading this manuscript, and I believe it has a lot of promises. I propose that this original work be accepted after minor adjustments have been made	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shahira H. EL-Moslamy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications, Egypt</b>