

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_122627
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative evaluation of the Antisickling, Elemental and Proximate Analysis of the Leaves of Three Khaya species found in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The chosen field is important from a scientific point of view. The quality and the scientific soundness is fairly good	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is clear and well established with clear and concise language.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sections and subsections in the text are not numbered correctly.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	From a scientific point of view the manuscript is correct. The subject is good, the approach is pragmatic and precise and the results are clear, well systematized and bring useful information to.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. Citations in the text do not comply with the requirements of the journal's editorial guidelines. References should be updated	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality need to be improved. The entire article should be checked for nor grammatical errors, punctuation, etc.	
Optional/General comments	I recommend publishing it after reviewing the manuscript	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zagrai Gavrilă
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Romania