

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_122627
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative evaluation of the Antisickling, Elemental and Proximate Analysis of the Leaves of Three Khaya species found in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sickle cell disease although a rare disease, needs prompt treatment. The study conducted on khaya species shows the prompt potential of it. It can prove to effective and may play a milestone role in its treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Can be more comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Few more subsections needs to be added.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Few grammatical corrections to be done. A flowchart may be used to explain processing of khaya leaves. I would like to congratulate the author for conducting research on such a important and challenging topic.the author has put in hardwork working upon it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent five years references to be added.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is a well written one.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vinu Choudhary</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bisu Hospital &amp; Fertility Centre, India</b>